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### 1.0 Investment Program Overview

This program describes the investments that could be made to improve goods movement or freight operations within Hillsborough County. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) estimates that the number of trucks on the nation's highways will double over the next 25 years, even with an increased emphasis on moving more freight to rail and barge modes. FHWA's Freight Analysis Framework indicates that the total domestic freight on the highways of the Tampa Bay Region will increase from 295 million tons in 2011 to nearly 496 million tons in 2040 and that 97 percent of all freight moved within the region will be moved by truck. ${ }^{1}$ This is due to the number of freight activity centers (FACs) located within Hillsborough County, which includes 12 large manufacturing and distribution areas. These FACs contain most of Hillsborough County's manufacturing base and large distribution centers. Another large contributor to freight moving on the highways is the cargo being moved into and out of Port Tampa Bay and from the CSX Transportation (railroad) intermodal facilities located within the County. Additionally, every major east/west and north/south highway corridor within the Tampa Bay region passes through Hillsborough County at some point and all of these highways carry a high percentage of truck traffic.

To help visualize the expected impact of freight movement on the County's highways, Figure 1 shows the expected growth in the estimated number of loaded and empty truck trips within our region. It makes no difference if a truck is full or empty because from a pure highway capacity perspective, an empty truck is still taking up space on the highway.

Figure 1
Tampa Bay Annual Estimated Truck Trips 2011-2040


Source: FAF3

[^0]It is projected that by 2040, nearly 24 million truck trips will be moving along our highways annually. These trips will have a major effect on our highway transportation system.

Not all freight is moved by highway in Hillsborough County. CSX operates a robust rail network and moves a lot of freight cargo into and out of the County at its facilities in central Tampa and at Port Tampa Bay, with trucks used to move goods to the rail transfer facility. Additionally, much of the phosphate shipped to the Port is via rail, thus, reducing the impact of using trucks to move this material. A typical phosphate railcar is the equivalent of 2.5 truckloads and a typical phosphate train is 65 cars long or the equivalent of 163 trucks. Containers and trailers on flat cars reduce truck trips further. A double stacked rail car is the equivalent of two to four trucks, depending on the size and number of containers stacked. A typical 100-car intermodal train will take between 200 and 300 trucks off the road daily. Figure 2 shows an estimate of the rail equivalent to loaded trucks/number of truck trips that are saved by moving freight by rail based on total tonnage. As shown, by 2040 nearly 2 million truck trips are saved by using rail. However, these totals do not come even close to the total number of trucks moving throughout the County daily.

Figure 2
Tampa Bay Annual Domestic Equivalent Loaded Trucks


The importance of a good, uncongested transportation system cannot be under stated. Good transportation helps to attract new manufacturing and distribution businesses to our area and helps to expand our economy. The need to move freight quickly, efficiently, and reliably is essential in developing and expanding a robust local economy. Freight-related investments in our transportation system will contribute to better efficiency and reduced operational costs that result in lower prices to the consumer. The added bonus is that improved truck efficiency on freight corridors also results in reduced congestion for the traveling public.

### 2.0 Data Collection

### 2.1 Freight-Related Project List

A list of potential freight projects was extracted from various local plans including the Port Tampa Bay Strategic Plan, the Tampa Bay Regional Goods Movement Study (TBRGMS), the Strategic Regional Freight Plan (SFRP), the Florida Statewide SIS Needs Plan, Statewide Ports Plan, and the Hillsborough County 2035 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP). Added to this list were all the projects listed in the newly-developed Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) District Seven Consolidated Freight Improvement Database (CFID). Due to overlapping needs developed for these plans, there were many duplicate projects within the list that were removed.

The CFID was created to track freight issues and projects and to help ensure that freight needs are included in the scoping of Project Development and Environment (PD\&E), design, and construction projects. The CFID projects were extracted from various sources including the 2035 LRTP, but it also included projects recommended during an extensive freight corridor screening process conducted by the FDOT District Seven that assessed the entire state highway system for issues that may have an impact on freight operations. Only recommended projects that are in CFID are included for this 2040 Freight Investment Program. Freight-related issues that have not been evaluated to the point where projects are recommended in CFID are not included.

The updated project list was sorted by corridor and, where possible, some smaller projects at intersections or short segments were combined into a single improvement project. Additionally, all capacity projects and large maintenance projects were removed because they will be accounted for in other investment programs.

### 2.1.1 Freight Corridor Impacts

To help assess the potential impact of a project on a particular freight corridor or intersection, the following information was collected:

- Traffic Data: Collected from the FDOT Traffic website for Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT), Average Annual Daily Truck Traffic (ADDTT), and percent of trucks.
- Corridor Designation Type: The designated freight corridor types, Strategic Intermodal System (SIS), Regional Goods Movement Corridor, and Distribution Corridor were obtained from the TBRGMS as documented in the Strategic Regional Freight Plan.
- Congested Roadway Segments: The locally congested roadway segments were obtained from the Volume/Capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio for the existing plus committed ( $\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{C}$ ) road network in Tampa Bay Regional Travel Demand Model.


### 3.0 Performance Measures

Performance measures can be evaluated for the system-wide, corridor, or project levels. System-wide performance measures can be found in the Hillsborough County Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) State of the System (SOS) Report (2012) and applied to freight movement. Based on the SOS Report, the number of recurring freight bottlenecks addressed can be tracked to show the progress that is being made to reduce congestion. Corridor delay for specific representative truck corridors could be tracked year over year. Projects could be compared to pre-, forecast, and post-conditions to evaluate if the desired outcomes have been accomplished. The ability to develop a good performance measure is dependent on the availability of the required input data.

From a transportation industry perspective, delay, travel time, and reliability are important factors to track for operation performance. Therefore, freight-related performance measures should document the performance along congested segments or choke points of designated regional and local freight corridors. Figure 3 shows the regional (in red) and local (in blue) freight corridors for Hillsborough County. The 2012 SOS Report documented the top 50 congested [based on level of service (LOS)] intersections in unincorporated Hillsborough County. Of these intersections, 15 involve significant freight movement (Table 1).

Table 1
Freight-Related Congested Intersections

|  | Roadway | Cross Roadway |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | US 301 - northbound (NB) to westbound (WB) left-turn lane | Causeway Blvd. |
| 2 | Bloomingdale Ave./Progress Blvd. - eastbound (EB) to NB left-turn lane | US 301 |
| 3 | SR 60/Brandon Blvd. | Kings Ave. |
| 4 | SR 574/MLK Blvd. | Falkenburg Rd. |
| 5 | SR 574/MLK Blvd. | Parsons Ave. |
| 6 | Fletcher Ave. | US 41/Nebraska Ave. |
| 7 | Fletcher Ave. | US 41B/Florida Ave. |
| 8 | Fletcher Ave. | Bruce B. Downs Blvd. |
| 9 | SR 672/Big Bend Rd. | East Bay High School |
| 10 | US 92/Hillsborough Ave. | Orient Rd. |
| 11 | Broadway Ave. | Falkenburg Rd. |
| 12 | Bloomingdale Ave. | Lithia Pinecrest Rd. |
| 13 | SR 580/Hillsborough Ave. | Veterans Expwy. NB on-ramp |
| 14 | Waters Ave. | Anderson Rd. |
| 15 | SR 582/Fowler Ave. | $56^{\text {th }}$ St. |

Source: 2012 Hillsborough MPO SOS Report.

Figure 3
Hillsborough County Truck Route Network Transportation System


Source: TBRGMS, 2010.

In addition to the 15 congested intersections that impact freight performance, there are several corridor segments that have been identified through the output information from the Tampa Bay Regional Travel Demand Model that shows exceptionally high Planning Time Indices (PTIs) a measure of travel time reliability. These corridor segments are shown in Table 2. The PTI multiplied by average trip time equals the total time that must be allocated to complete a trip on time due to recurring and non-recurring delay. The PM peak is the most unreliable time to travel, requiring over 3.5 times more travel time than free flow travel for selected segments with heavy truck usage. US 41 between Big Bend Road and the Selmon Expressway has critically high PTIs for both the AM peak (3.933) and PM peak (3.811) and is also one of the most heavily used truck routes due to its proximity to all the port facilities. SR 60/Adamo Drive between $50^{\text {th }}$ Street and US 301 has the highest PTI for the PM peak (3.933). All of the nonfreeway regional freight corridors (US 41, US 301, SR 60, and SR 580) have both AM and PM peak PTIs above 2.392, indicating that they are among the most congested in the County. Note that during the PM peak, every key freight segment has a PTI above 2.000 and 12 of the 17 listed in the table have PTIs above 3.000. During the AM peak, all except I-75 between I-4 and I-275 (1.238) and SR 574/Martin Luther King, Jr. Boulevard between Dale Mabry Highway and I-275 (1.701), have PTIs above 2.00 and over half have PTIs above 3.000. PTIs for the AM and PM peaks for key freight corridors are shown in Table 2.

Table 2
Freight Corridor Segments with Low Travel Reliability

| Roadway | From | To | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TTI (Mean) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{PTI} \\ \left(\mathrm{TTI}_{95}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TTI } \\ \text { (Mean) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{PTI} \\ \left(\mathrm{TTI}_{95}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| US 41 | Big Bend Rd. | Selmon Expwy. | 2.697 | 3.934 | 2.446 | 3.811 |
| SR 60/Adamo Dr. | Channelside Dr. | $50^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. | 1.774 | 2.701 | 1.922 | 3.144 |
|  | $50^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. | US 301 | 2.091 | 3.100 | 2.445 | 3.933 |
|  | US 301 | I-75 | 1.726 | 2.622 | 1.579 | 2.392 |
| SR 60/Brandon Blvd. | I-75 | Turkey Creek Rd. | 2.001 | 3.019 | 2.019 | 3.233 |
| SR 580/Hillsborough Ave. | Memorial Highway | Dale Mabry Hwy. | 2.068 | 3.177 | 2.074 | 3.257 |
| 1-75 | I-4 | I-275 | 1.076 | 1.238 | 1.414 | 2.142 |
|  | Big Bend Rd. | Selmon Expwy. | 1.957 | 3.047 | 1.842 | 2.855 |
| I-4 | I-75 | Polk County Line | 1.739 | 2.637 | 2.159 | 3.503 |
| US 301 | Big Bend Rd. | Selmon Expwy. | 2.403 | 3.607 | 2.131 | 3.294 |
|  | Selmon Expwy. | I-4 | 1.597 | 2.385 | 1.786 | 2.890 |
| SR 580/Hillsborough Ave. | Dale Mabry Hwy. | US 301 | 1.701 | 2.635 | 2.399 | 3.883 |
| Causeway Blvd./22 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ St. | SR 60/Adamo Dr. | US 301 | 2.127 | 3.228 | 2.047 | 3.208 |
| Fletcher Ave. | US 41/Nebraska Ave. | I-75 | 2.140 | 3.510 | 2.330 | 3.788 |
| US 41B/Florida Ave. | Busch Blvd. | US 41/Nebraska Ave. | 1.983 | 3.089 | 1.797 | 2.725 |
| SR 574/MLK Blvd. | Dale Mabry Hwy. | I-275 | 1.240 | 1.701 | 1.982 | 3.257 |
| SR 60/Kennedy Blvd. | SR 60/Memorial Hwy. | Dale Mabry Hwy. | 1.800 | 2.844 | 1.994 | 3.278 |

Note: Yellow indicates highest PTI for AM and PM peaks.
Sources: Tampa Bay Regional Planning Model and Cambridge Systematics.

### 3.1 System-Wide Performance Measures

System-wide performance factors are used to track the overall performance of the transportation system. The same methodology can be used to focus on the regional freight network, a sub-set of the entire roadway system that has the most impact on freight movement.

## Percent Miles of Congested Freight Routes (Regional)

This performance measure can be used to track reductions in congestion on the regional freight system.
$\Sigma$ (Segment Length $\mid$ Peak Hour Volumes $>$ LOS E Volume Threshold)

$$
\Sigma \text { (Segment Length) } \times 100
$$

Data Need: Congested and Total Freight Route Miles.

Source: TBRGMS and Tampa Bay Regional Travel Demand Model LOS E and F congested roadway miles.

## Percent of Freight Hot Spots Mitigated

Based on the list of identified freight hot spots, this performance measure tracks the number of hot spots eliminated or mitigated over time. Because the focus of the logistics industry is short-term (as industry is based on staying in business today); the goal of this performance measure should be to mitigate most hot spots within the first 5-10 years.

Total Number of Freight Hot Spots Mitigated Total Number of Freight Hot Spots Hot Spots

Data Needs: Freight Hot Spot List (CFID)
Source: TBRGMS

### 3.2 Corridor-Based Performance Measures

The following performance measures should be tracked for the specified corridors and included in the SOS Reports.

- Planning Time Index (Travel Time ${ }_{95}$ ) or Buffer Index for selected key freight corridors are measures of travel time reliability. From a logistics provider perspective, reliability is a significant factor in determining transportation costs. The following segments are key trucking corridors because they connect to nearly all of the FACs or carry freight into and out of the County:
- I-75, I-275, I-4, Veterans Expressway
- US 41, US 301, US 92 (Hillsborough Avenue)
- SR 60 (Adamo Drive/Brandon Boulevard), $22^{\text {nd }}$ Street/ Causeway Boulevard


## - Estimated Annual Truck Delay

- Annual Cost of Truck Delay


## Planning Time Index

Planning Time Index ( $\mathbf{P T I}$ ) is a ratio of the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile travel time through a corridor compared to the free flow travel time (generally the average time based on the speed limit). This index can be tracked year to year to monitor the status of a corridor segment. The PTI allows the industry to calculate how much additional time must be added to a trip in order not to be late. For example, if a trip from the distribution center to the supermarket would normally take 30 minutes under free flow conditions, the industry would multiply the free flow travel time by the PTI to get the total time of the trip in order to ensure an on-time arrival.

$$
\mathrm{PTI}_{95}=\quad \frac{\text { Travel Time }_{95 \text { th percentile }}}{\text { Travel Time free-flow }}
$$

Data needs: Travel speed on the selected segment.

Source: FHWA "Transportation Operations and Freight Measurement and Data Site" (requires permissions available to MPOs).

Note: The AM and PM PTIs (TTI ${ }_{95}$ ), as well as the $\mathrm{TTI}_{80}$ are available for selected corridors based on the latest Tampa Bay Regional Travel Demand Model (See Appendix A).

## Buffer Index

The Buffer Index is similar to the PTI and represents the extra buffer time, expressed as a percentage, that must be added to average travel time to ensure on-time arrival 95 percent of the time. This additional time is to account for unexpected delay such as that caused by accidents or unexpected new construction. The buffer index (\%) gets larger as the reliability gets worse. For example, if a trip from a distribution center to a store takes 30 minutes on average and the buffer index is 50 percent, the driver would have to add an additional 15 minutes to his route time in order to meet his delivery window. It is used for a specific time of day such as morning or afternoon peak hours.

```
BI \((\%)=95\) th Percentile Travel Time - Average Travel Time Average Travel Time
```

Data needs: Average corridor travel time and the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile travel time.

Source: Travel time studies for selected corridors or FHWA "Transportation Operations and Freight Measurement and Data Site" (requires permissions available to MPOs).

## Truck Hours of Delay (Corridor)

Truck delay through a selected corridor is the individual segment delays multiplied by the percent trucks and summed over all of the segments.

```
Annual \(^{\text {Delay }}{ }_{\text {truck }}=\sum\left(\sum\right.\) Daily \(^{\text {Delay }}\) segment \(^{*}\) Percent Trucks \()\)
```

Data Needs: Segment Hours of Delay, Percent Trucks on Segment.

Source: Hillsborough County MPO Congestion Management Program, FDOT Traffic Counts.

## Cost of Freight Delay

Calculating the cost of truck delay provides a monetized value of delay that can be used system-wide, corridor-wide, or to determine the benefit of a completed project.

Cost of Freight Delay $=$ Delay $_{\text {truck }}$ * Hourly cost of truck delay
Data Needs: Truck Hours of Delay; Cost Per Hour of Delay.
Source: Truck delay calculation; Texas Transportation Institute Urban Mobility Report for cost/hour for truck delay (national average). Florida Trucking Association may have cost for Florida.

### 4.0 Investment Levels Methodology

### 4.1 Freight-Related Investment Tiers

The MPO's 2040 Freight Funding Program development effort for the LRTP includes three tiers for freight investment based on a funded baseline for the first 5 years of the program. Because funding a freight program in the LRTP is new for the MPO, a baseline that includes the FDOT Freight Quick Fix projects for Hillsborough County funded in the 5 -year FDOT Work Program was used. It was assumed that relative amount program spending would remain constant for the remaining 20 years of the program, and the base was multiplied by four to cover the remaining four 5 -year periods of the plan. A conservative 50 percent was then added for Tier I because the Quick Fix projects were not generated by a previous plan and were instead targeted supplemental funding. This resulted in an investment target of $\$ 18,632,000$.

### 4.1.1 Tier I Investments

Tier I represents the expected freight investment over the 25 -year life of the plan. Tier I investment is based on the cost of 73 freight projects identified in the FDOT District Seven CFID and the FDOT Regional Strategic Freight Plan, excluding all capacity projects and major maintenance/resurfacing projects (Appendix B, Freight Identified Capacity and Maintenance Projects not Included in Investment Program), which are accounted for in other spending programs. The total investment for these projects is $\$ 17,020,523$. No additional projects could be added without going over the $\$ 18,632,000$ Tier I target.

These projects are essential for improving freight operability and delivery reliability that affect transportation shipping costs. The Tier I projects include all low-cost investments, where the cost is estimated to be under $\$ 100,000$. The goal is to complete these projects within the first 10 years of plan. Low-cost projects include:

- Any project identified on the FDOT Freight Quick Fix list regardless of cost;
- Restriping to reconfigure an intersection or make lane width adjustments on existing surfaces to 12 feet, where possible on heavily used truck corridors;
- Pull back concrete median noses and replace with pavement markings to enhance truck turning and reduce infrastructure damage;
- Adjust the location of stop bars to allow for unimpeded wide truck turns, where generally only a single receiving lane exists;
- Adding truck-related signage;
- Minor corner radius changes/shoulder repair within the existing right-of-way (ROW);
- Corner radius modifications on rural facilities;
- Adding or modifying raised concrete channelization islands; and
- Adjusting signal timing.

Tier I also includes moderate cost investments that range between $\$ 100,000$ and $\$ 1$ million although some projects and combinations of projects to improve a corridor or a corridor segment may cost more. These projects include:

- Minor reconstruction within the existing ROW,
- Corner radius modifications on urban facilities,
- Milling and resurfacing intersections and approaches,
- Adding left-/right-turn lanes within the existing ROW,
- Adjusting turn lane lengths to accommodate more vehicles at intersections with a large amount of truck turning movements,
- Converting median openings to directional median openings throughout a corridor segment,
- Railroad crossing upgrades/repairs/resurfacing, and
- Adding new traffic signals.


### 4.1.2 Tier II Investments

Tier II investment includes the addition of a railroad grade separation on US 41 at Rockport. This high priority grade separation is identified in the Regional Strategic Freight Plan and has also been identified by the SIS Systems Needs Plan, the Regional Rail Plan, and the Port Tampa Bay Strategic Plan. It will relieve congestion resulting from 28 or more train crossings per day entering and exiting the CSX Rockport Phosphate Terminal, especially during peak commuting hours when traffic queues often reach over a mile in length.

### 4.1.3 Tier III Investments

Tier III investments include a second railroad grade separation (Causeway Boulevard, east of US 41), in addition to the grade separation listed under Tier II or, as an alternative, the SR 60 to I-4 Connector east of Brandon that is recommended in the Regional Strategic Freight Plan. Similar to the US 41 grade separation, the Causeway Boulevard grade separation will relieve congestion cause by more than 30 trains per day entering the Rockport Terminal, as well as trains heading south to the Eastport Terminal, Port Manatee, and Bradenton. Causeway Boulevard is a key connector route between US 301/I-75 and Port Tampa Bay. The SR 60 to I-4 Connector was recommended in the TBRGMS to relieve a portion of the heavy traffic through traffic on SR 60/Brandon Boulevard by providing an alternate route around Brandon via I-4. It is also expected to relieve other traffic between I-75 to the north of I-4 and SR 60 east of Brandon.

The other high cost projects essential to freight remain as unfunded needs.
Table 3 below shows the baseline plus the additional recommended spending at each tier, as well as the total combinations spending if the additional Tier II or Tier III funding is available.

The cost for capacity improvements such as adding new lanes are not included as they will be developed elsewhere. It is assumed that these capacity improvements will have positive benefit on freight movement by reducing congestion and improving reliability.

The typical costs presented in the tables include a percentage of the construction costs to cover engineering design, mobilization/CEI and contingencies.

Table 3
Freight Program Funding Tier Spending


Notes: Baseline: Includes all FDOT Quick Fix projects and other funded Work Program projects excluding all capacity projects and major maintenance projects such as resurfacing. These projects will be accounted for in other investment programs all of which will have a positive impact on freight operations.
Tier I: Includes 73 projects identified in the Consolidated Freight Improvement Database and the Regional Strategic Freight Plan excluding general capacity projects and large maintenance projects. These projects will be accounted for in other investment programs all of which will have a positive impact on freight operations.
Tier II: Investments include the addition of a single railroad grade separation (US 41 at Rockport) to the Tier I investments.
Tier III: Investments the addition of a second railroad grade separation Causeway Boulevard east of US 41) to the Tier I plus Tier II investments or a SR 60 to I-4 connector located east of Brandon.
All projects not included in Investment Tiers I, II, or III remain unfunded needs.

### 4.2 Estimating Planning Level Costs

Typical planning level cost were developed using the FDOT Long Range Estimate (LRE) program in 2014 dollars to generate "typical costs" for similar projects. Additionally, the cost of some improvements have been previously been estimated and were extracted from supporting documents. For example, the estimated costs of railroad grade separations were included in the SIS Needs Plan for near, mid and long range. Other examples include projects on the FDOT District Seven Freight Quick Fix listing and those found in the 5 -year Work Program. These projects have been pre-engineered and costs were developed for each of the specific projects. It is also possible that some of the freight projects (intersection improvements, radii adjustments, turn lane adjustments, etc.) could be incorporated into larger planned or funded projects, such as corridor capacity improvements or resurfacing projects at reduced cost. The costs presented here assume that these projects will be developed as stand-alone investments even though they may later be incorporated into other projects.

After applying LRE costs the project list was sorted by freight system impact. The individual projects were then moved to the investment tiers until the proposed tier total was achieved as close as possible.

Tables 4 through 7 show the freight-related projects by investment tier as described above. Within each tier, the list has been sorted by freight system impact.

### 5.0 Results of Benefits Analysis

The expected benefits of the Freight Investment Program include:

- Reduced congestion at key intersections and freight mobility corridors affecting approximately $2,095,000$ total daily trips (AADT) and 117,200 daily truck trips (AADTT);
- Improved delivery reliability that should be reflected in lower consumer prices due to decreased transportation;
- Improved operability for trucks at intersections due to improved infrastructure alignment;
- Reduced maintenance costs to replace crushed curbs, sidewalks, raised channelization islands, median noses, poles, and other fixed infrastructure; and
- Reduced damage to trucks.

A typical Benefit-Cost Analysis for the projects on the list was not part of the scope of this technical memorandum. However, it is possible to determine the potential impact of the projects on the freight corridors by applying a point scoring system. The importance of a freight project was determined by the following information.

- AADT
- AADTT
- Percentage of trucks
- Type of system component such as SIS, Designated Regional Corridor (as defined in the TBRGMS), Distribution (as defined by the Hillsborough County Truck Route Plan), or whether a roadway segment connects to or facilitates truck movement within a designated FAC
- Whether the project is located on a congested corridor based on $\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{C}$ from the latest Tampa Bay Regional Travel Demand Model.

Additionally, the potential impact on freight operations of a project was estimated. Impacts were viewed as low, moderate, or high for each of the following criteria:

- Will the project result in a potential reduction of delay?
- Will the project result in reduced physical operation impacts such as easier turning movements, crash reduction, improved pavement conditions, etc.?


## TABLE 4

## Baseline Freight-Related Projects

| Corridor | Project | Type | Further Description | AADT | AADTT | \% <br> Trucks | Corridor <br> Type (SIS/ Regional/ Distribution | Total Freight Impact Score | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated } \\ & \text { Cost } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US 41 | US 41 at Old US 41 | Operational | Turn Radii | 25,000 | 2,625 | 10.5\% | Regional | 29 | \$49,825 |
| Broadway Ave. | US $41 / 50^{\text {th }}$ St. to $62^{\text {nd }}$ St. CSX Intermodal | Operational | Operational issues/New Signal/Intersection Improvements at $62^{\text {nd }}$ St. Improve $62^{\text {nd }}$ St. from Columbus Dr. to CSX intermodal. Control survey US 41 to $62^{\text {nd }}$ St. + 500 ft . | 1,300 | 703 | 54.1\% | SIS | 27 | \$1,852,000 |
| Hillsborough Ave. | SR 580/Hillsborough Ave. at Anderson Rd. | FDOT Quick Fix | Pull back EB and WB median noses 10 ft . | 61,000 | 2,003 | 3.3\% | SIS | 27 | \$3,416 |
| SR 60 | SR 60 at 34 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St. | Operational | Turn radii | 25,000 | 1,575 | 6.3\% | Distribution | 27 | \$41,616 |
| Hillsborough Ave. | Hillsborough Ave. at $22^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{St}$. | Operational | Turn radii SE corner. No trucks N of Hillsborough. | 47,500 | 3,008 | 6.3\% | Regional | 26 | \$37,522 |
| Hillsborough Ave. | SR 580/W. Hillsborough Ave. at N. Lois Ave. | FDOT Quick Fix | Pull back the NB to EB turn radius 8 ft . and extend pavement. | 71,500 | 2,431 | 3.4\% | Regional | 26 | \$27,898 |
| US 301 | SR 43/US 301 at Progress Blvd. | FDOT Quick Fix | Widen Full depth paved shoulder/Mill and resurface deficient pavement. Modify turn radii at the NW corner. Modify signal timing to provide more green time for EB to NB left turn for trucks. | 41,500 | 1,660 | 4.0\% | Regional | 26 | \$32,237 |
| US 92 | SR 600/US 92 at McIntosh Rd. | FDOT Quick Fix | Extend corner radii by adding shoulder pavement 6 ft . on all corners | 8,200 | 1,028 | 12.5\% | Distribution | 25 | \$43,810 |
| SR 60 | SR 60 at US 41 | Maintenance | Substandard Pavement. Upgrade to concrete plus 200 ft . on each approach. | 34,000 | 2,652 | 7.8\% | Distribution | 23 | \$368,271 |
| SR 60 | SR 60 at $34^{\text {th }}$ St. | Maintenance | Substandard pavement | 25,000 | 1,575 | 6.3\% | Distribution | 22 | \$64,500 |

## Table 4 (Continued)

## Baseline Freight-Related Projects

| Corridor | Project | Type | Further Description | AADT | AADTT | \% <br> Trucks | Corridor <br> Type (SIS/ <br> Regional/ Distribution | Total Freight Impact Score | Estimated Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Causeway Blvd. | SR 576/Causeway Blvd. at US 301 | FDOT Quick Fix | Reduce median nose on Causeway Blvd. west of US 301 by 10 ft . to accommodate NB to WB double left turns. | 27,500 | 2,310 | 8.4\% | Regional | 21 | \$967 |
| Park Rd. | SR 553/N Park Rd. at I-4 Ramps | FDOT Quick Fix | Pull back the NB to WB turn radius 10 ft . to extend the shoulder pavement. Pull back the EB to SB turn radius 15 ft . to extend shoulder pavement | 20,500 | 1,763 | 8.6\% | Regional | 20 | \$20,312 |
| SR 574/Dr. <br> Martin Luther King, Jr. (MLK) Blvd. | SR 574/MLK Blvd. at SR 583/50 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St. | Operational | Turn Radii | 17,300 | 900 | 5.2\% | Distribution | 19 | \$42,000 |
| Park Rd | E. Alexander St. at Jim Johnson Rd. | FDOT Quick Fix | Pull back SB to WB turn radius 8 ft . to extend shoulder pavement. Relocate WB RR gate and relocate traffic signal pole. | 12,300 | 945 | 7.7\% | Regional | 18 | \$130,708 |
| US 92 | SR 600/US 92/ Baker St. at Thonotosassa Rd. | FDOT Quick Fix | Reduce size of pork chop for SB to WB movement and stripe removed area. | 9,700 | 446 | 4.6\% | Distribution | 18 | \$19,369 |
| SR 574/MLK Blvd. | SR 574/MLK Blvd. at N. Dover Rd. | Operational | Turn Radii and RR crossing improvements | 10,000 | 660 | 6.6\% | Distribution | 18 | \$342,294 |
| Park Rd. | CR 39B/Park Rd. at CR 574/E. Alsobrook St. | FDOT Quick Fix | Pull back the SB to WB turn radius 10 ft . to extend shoulder pavement. Pull back the EB to SB turn radius 8 ft . to extend shoulder pavement | 17,100 | 1,231 | 7.2\% | Regional | 16 | \$28,588 |

## TABLE 5

## Tier I Freight-Related Projects

| Corridor | Project | Type | Further Description | AADT | AADTT | \% Trucks | Corridor <br> Type (SIS/ Regional/ Distribution | Total Freight Impact Score | Estimated Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Causeway Blvd. | $22^{\text {nd }}$ St./Maritime Blvd. at SR 676/Causeway Blvd. | Operational | Signal Modification. Adjust the NB to WB and WB to NB signal timing to accommodate trucks entering and leaving the Port. | 33,500 | 4,623 | 13.8\% | SIS | 31 | \$10,000 |
| Dale Mabry Hwy. | Dale Mabry Hwy. <br> (Hillsborough Ave. to Kennedy Blvd.) | Operational | Signal timing/access management. Long cycles at MLK Blvd. and Columbus Dr. and closely spaced signals between Spruce St. and I-275 ramps. | 73,000 | 1,925 | 2.6\% | Regional | 30 | \$88,000 |
| I-275 | I-275 and Bearss Ave. exit ramp | Operational | Turn radii SE corner. Decrease median width (create bulbout) east of intersection opposite NB ramp to permit wider turns from inside right-turn lane. Adjust signal timing on WB to SB left-turn lanes to permit all vehicles to clear the intersection with the NB exit ramp. | 22,500 | 1,750 | 7.8\% | Distribution | 28 | \$26,500 |
| Hillsborough Ave. | Hillsborough Ave. (Veterans Expwy. to Highlands Ave.) | Operations | Operational issues/Signal cycles at major intersections. (12 intersections.) | 71,500 | 3,380 | 4.7\% | SIS/Regional | 27 | \$96,000 |
| US 92 | SR 600/US 92 at Mango Rd. | Operational | Turn Radius NE corner. Replace curbs with mountable curbs. | 8,200 | 1,164 | 14.2\% | Distribution | 26 | \$1,500 |
| SR 60 | S. Lakewood Dr. at W. Brandon Blvd. | Operational | Turn Radius at the SE corner. Reconstruct corner be eliminating the raised curbing. Pull back south side median nose by 5 ft . and pull back the inside left-turn lane by an equal amount. | 72,000 | 3,888 | 5.4\% | SIS | 26 | \$5,000 |

Table 5 (Continued)

## Tier I Freight-Related Projects

| Corridor | Project | Type | Further Description | AADT | AADTT | \% Trucks | Corridor <br> Type (SIS/ Regional/ Distribution | Total <br> Freight <br> Impact <br> Score | Estimated Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hillsborough Ave. | Hillsborough Ave. at I-275 ramps | Operational | Turn Radii NW corner SB off-ramp | 52,000 | 2,247 | 4.3\% | Regional | 26 | \$10,000 |
| Hillsborough Ave. | $56^{\text {th }}$ St. at Hillsborough Ave. | Operational | Signal modification, Long cycle. | 77,000 | 5,800 | 7.5\% | Regional | 26 | \$10,000 |
| Dale Mabry Hwy. | Dale Mabry Hwy. (Bearss Ave. to Hillsborough Ave.) | Operational | Signal timing progression study (11 intersections) | 74,000 | 2,150 | 2.9\% | Regional | 26 | \$88,000 |
| US 41 | US $41 / 50^{\text {th }}$ St. at SR 574/MLK Blvd. | Operational | Turn Radius SE corner. Narrow receiving lane from NB $50^{\text {th }}$ St.to EB SR 574. Move stop bar for EB left-turn lane back 30 ft . to allow for wide truck turns. Extend corner pavement 4 ft . at SE corner. | 23,500 | 2,162 | 9.2\% | Distribution | 25 | \$2,500 |
| US 301 | US 301 at SR 676 (Causeway Blvd.) | Operational | Signal Timing | 41,500 | 3,212 | 7.7\% | Regional | 25 | \$10,000 |
| Hillsborough Ave. | Hillsborough Ave. From Dale Mabry Hwy. to Nebraska Ave. | Operational | Signal progression modifications (10 signalized intersections) | 48,000 | 3,380 | 7\% | Regional | 25 | \$80,000 |
| US 41 | SR 45/US 41 from Madison Ave. to Washington St. | Operational | Turn Radii Multiple locations (Santa Fe Rd., Denver St., $16^{\text {th }}$ Ave.) | 27,551 | 3,168 | 11.5\% | Regional | 24 | \$76,000 |
| Causeway | Causeway Blvd. and Grant St. | Operational | Signal modification. NB left turn | 33,500 | 4,623 | 13.8\% | SIS | 24 | \$10,000 |
| Hillsborough Ave. | SR 580/Hillsborough Ave. at NB to EB offramp at Veterans Expwy. | Operational | Turn Radii SE corner. Change to taper on Hillsborough Ave. to flatten curve. | 62,500 | 2,003 | 3.2\% | SIS | 24 | \$10,000 |

Table 5 (Continued)

## Tier I Freight-Related Projects

| Corridor | Project | Type | Further Description | AADT | AADTT | \% Trucks | Corridor <br> Type (SIS/ <br> Regional/ Distribution | Total Freight Impact Score | Estimated Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dale Mabry Hwy. | Dale Mabry Hwy. at Kennedy Blvd. | Operational | Operational Issues Conduct signal timing study to improve peak hour performance and adjust signal timing as necessary during peak hours to clear left-turn queue and prevent spill over into the through lanes. | 83,500 | 2,584 | 3.9\% | Regional | 24 | \$10,000 |
| US 301 | US 301 (I-75 to Selmon Expwy.) | Operational | Signal timing along the corridor | 44,000 | 3,212 | 7.3\% | Regional | 24 | \$40,000 |
| SR 674 | SR 674 at Grange Hall Lp. | Operational | Maintenance. Repair/reconstruct shoulder pavement at the SE and SW corners. | 6,100 | 598 | 9.8\% | Regional | 23 | \$4,500 |
| US 92 | SR 600/US 92 at N . Branch Forbes Rd. | Operational | Turning radii. Extend pavement at the NE and SE corners. | 12,900 | 1,355 | 10.5\% | Regional | 23 | \$20,000 |
| SR 60 | SR 60 at US 41 | Maintenance | Substandard Pavement. Upgrade to concrete plus 200 ft . on each approach. | 34,000 | 2,652 | 7.8\% | Distribution | 23 | \$3,612,672 |
| US 41 | US 41 from Madison Ave. to Washington St. | Maintenance | Substandard pavement | 27,551 | 3,168 | 11.5\% | Regional | 23 | \$7,221,000 |
| SR 574/MLK Blvd. | SR 574/MLK Blvd. at $34^{\text {th }}$ St. | Operational | Turn Radii SE corner. Reconstruct and replace curb with mountable curbing. Pull back median on E side approach. | 22,000 | 946 | 4.3\% | Distribution | 22 | \$2,000 |
| I-4 | I-4 \& 39 | Operational | Signal modification. Change from flashing amber to full operation with protected left-turn movements. | 3,500 | 319 | 9.0\% | Regional | 22 | \$5,000 |

Table 5 (Continued)

## Tier I Freight-Related Projects

| Corridor | Project | Type | Further Description | AADT | AADTT | \% <br> Trucks | Corridor <br> Type (SIS/ <br> Regional/ <br> Distribution | Total <br> Freight Impact Score | Estimated Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US 301 | US 301 at Mango Rd. | Operational | Left=turn lane length. Lengthen the SB left turn at Mango Rd. SR 579 (truck route) and shorten the NB left turn lane to Palm Tree Dr. (residential). Widen shoulder pavement at the SE corner. | 11,700 | 1,374 | 11.7\% | Distribution | 22 | \$7,000 |
| SR 60 | SR 60 at Dover Rd. | Operational | Signal modification | 35,500 | 2,556 | 7.2\% | SIS | 22 | \$10,000 |
| Fletcher Ave. | Fletcher Ave. (US 41 to US 41B) | Operational | Signal modification. Long cycles and closely spaced signals due to I275 ramps. | 40,000 | 1,606 | 4.0\% | Distribution | 22 | \$32,000 |
| US 41 | SR 45/US 41 from Madison Ave. to Washington St. | Operational | Signal Modification. Progression study four intersections (Madison Ave., Port Sutton Rd., Causeway Blvd., Palm River Rd.) | 27,551 | 3,168 | 11.5\% | Distribution | 22 | \$32,000 |
| Henderson Ave. | SR 685/Henderson Blvd. (Kennedy Blvd. to Dale Mabry Hwy.) | Operational | Turning radii. ROW constrained. Create tapers at the NE and SW corners including replacing existing sidewalk and adding mountable curbing. Modify the raised concrete island at the SW corner by reducing the size and creating a wider channelized right turn. | 18,900 | 548 | 2.9\% | Distribution | 22 | \$40,000 |
| US 41/ <br> Nebraska Ave. | SR 45/US 41 at Sligh Ave. | Operational | Turn Radii NW and SE corners. Move left-turn lanes stop bars back 15 ft . in the EB and WB directions to facilitate wide truck turns. | 22,000 | 924 | 4.2\% | Distribution | 21 | \$500 |

Table 5 (Continued)

## Tier I Freight-Related Projects

| Corridor | Project | Type | Further Description | AADT | AADTT | \% Trucks | Corridor <br> Type (SIS/ Regional/ Distribution | Total Freight Impact Score | Estimated Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 60 | SR 60 at Turkey Creek Rd. | Operational | Signal modification | 28,500 | 2,052 | 7.2\% | SIS | 21 | \$10,000 |
| SR 574/MLK Blvd. | SR 574/MLK Blvd. at Armenia Ave. | Operational | Turn Radii NE and SW corners | 36,000 | 1,296 | 3.6\% | Distribution | 21 | \$62,000 |
| SR 574/MLK Blvd. | SR 574 at $40{ }^{\text {th }}$ St. | Operational | Turn Radii SE and SW corners | 17,300 | 900 | 5.2\% | Distribution | 21 | \$62,000 |
| SR 582/ <br> Fowler Ave. | Fowler Ave. (Florida Ave. to $56{ }^{\text {th }}$ St.) | Operational | Operational issues/Signal timing related congestion (12 intersections) | 61,500 | 1,599 | 2.6\% | Regional | 21 | \$96,000 |
| US 41B/ <br> Florida Ave. | US 41B at Bearss Ave. | Operational | Turn Radii. Median noses have been pulled back on Bearss Ave. but the left-turn lane stop bars have not. Relocate stop bars to end of raised median nose. | 20,500 | 718 | 3.5\% | Distribution | 20 | \$500 |
| $50^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. | $50^{\text {th }}$ St. at Melbourne Ave. | Operational | Signal modification | 23,000 | 2,162 | 9.4\% | Regional | 20 | \$10,000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SR 574/MLK } \\ & \text { Blvd. } \end{aligned}$ | SR 574/MLK Blvd. at I-4 | Operational | Signal modification | 24,500 | 1,715 | 7.0\% | Distribution | 20 | \$10,000 |
| US 301 | SR 43/US 301 from CR 672/Big Bend Rd. to I-75 | Operational | Signal modification at Bloomingdale Ave., Riverview Rd., Gibsonton Dr., and Big Bend Rd. | 12,100 | 883 | 7.3\% | Regional | 20 | \$40,000 |
| I-4 | I-4/Thonotosassa Rd. | Operational | Add new signal at l-4 ramps to facilitate left-turn movements at the WB on-ramp and SB off-ramp. | 20,700 | 926 | 8.0\% | SIS | 19 | \$185,000 |
| US 41 | US 41 and West Hillsborough Ave. | Operational | Turn Radii. Pull back stop bars EB and WB and pull back west side median nose 10 ft . | 15,500 | 465 | 3.0\% | Distribution | 19 | \$2,400 |

Table 5 (Continued)

## Tier I Freight-Related Projects

|  | Project |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 5 (Continued)

## Tier I Freight-Related Projects

| Corridor | Project | Type | Further Description | AADT | AADTT | \% Trucks | Corridor <br> Type (SIS/ <br> Regional/ Distribution | Total <br> Freight <br> Impact <br> Score | Estimated Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Big Bend Rd. | CR 672/Big Bend Rd. and US 41/US 301 | Maintenance | Maintenance/resurfacing | 26,500 | 1,643 | 6.2\% | SIS | 18 | \$136,000 |
| US 41 | US 41/Nebraska Ave. at SR 580/Busch Blvd. | Operational | Signal modification | 22,000 | 990 | 4.5\% | Distribution | 17 | \$10,000 |
| US 41 | US 41 at Waters Ave. | Operational | Signal modification | 22,500 | 990 | 4.4\% | Distribution | 17 | \$10,000 |
| US 41/ <br> Nebraska <br> Ave. | US 41/Nebraska Ave. at US 92/Hillsborough Ave. | Operational | Signal modification | 19,000 | 648 | 3.4\% | Distribution | 17 | \$10,000 |
| US 41/ <br> Nebraska Ave. | US 41 at Fletcher Ave. | Operational | Signal modification | 27,500 | 1,100 | 4.0\% | Distribution | 17 | \$10,000 |
| US 41B/ <br> Florida Ave. | US 41B/Florida Ave. at Sligh Ave. | Operational | Signal modification | 22,500 | 924 | 4.1\% | Distribution | 17 | \$10,000 |
| Cypress St. | Cypress St. at Westshore Blvd. | Operational | Signal timing. Long cycle cause delay in all directions. Only 650 ft . to I-275 ramp signal. | 46,100 | 1,840 | 4.0\% | Distribution | 16 | \$10,000 |
| SR 39 | Alexander St. at SR 39 | Operational | Signal modification | 26,500 | 1,484 | 5.6\% | Distribution | 16 | \$10,000 |
| US 41 | US 41 at Bearss Ave. | Operational | Signal modification | 17,900 | 716 | 4.0\% | Distribution | 16 | \$10,000 |
| US 41/ <br> Nebraska Ave. | US 41 at Fowler Ave. | Operational | Signal modification | 27,500 | 1,100 | 4.0\% | Distribution | 16 | \$10,000 |
| Gibsonton Dr. | Gibsonton Dr. at US 41 | Operational | Intersection Modification. Change WB through lane to through/rightturn lane. Extend NB right-turn lane 100 ft . to hold more vehicles while waiting for train passage. | 11,700 | 842 | 7.2\% | Distribution | 16 | \$35,000 |

## Table 5 (Continued)

## Tier I Freight-Related Projects

| Corridor | Project | Type | Further Description | AADT | AADTT | \% Trucks | Corridor <br> Type (SIS/ <br> Regional/ <br> Distribution | Total Freight Impact Score | Estimated Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 39 | SR 39 (Paul Buchman Hwy.) at Alabama St. | Operational | Turn radii-Pull back stop bars on all approaches to facilitate turning movements on EB, and WB approaches. | 10,200 | 775 | 7.6\% | Distribution | 15 | \$600 |
| US 92 | US 92 at County Line Rd. | Operational | Signal modification | 8,500 | 504 | 5.9\% | Distribution | 15 | \$10,000 |
| Park Rd. | Jim Johnson Rd. at Sweetbay Distribution Center Entrance | Operational | Pull back NB and SB median noses 15 ft . and stripe the removed area. Add short acceleration lane for WB to SB movement to prevent blocking of traffic on NB Park Rd. | 12,300 | 945 | 7.7\% | Regional | 16 | \$45,640 |
| Tampa St. | Tampa St. at Columbus Dr. | Operational | Turn Radii. Pull the eastbound stop bar back an additional 10-15 feet to accommodate wide turns. Pull back WB left-turn lane stop bar 10 ft . | 8,800 | 317 | 3.6\% | Distribution | 15 | \$500 |
| Park Rd. | Park Rd. at I-4 WB Ramps | Operational | Signal modification | 8,000 | 784 | 9.8\% | Regional | 14 | \$5,000 |
| CR 39 | CR 39 at Lithia-Pinecrest Rd. | Operational | Turn Radii at NE and SE corners. Widen pavement at corners | 5,800 | 403 | 6.9\% | Distribution | 14 | \$15,400 |
| $56^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. | $56^{\text {th }}$ St. (Hanna Ave. to Fowler Ave.) | Operational | Signal progression study on designated truck route. Hanna Ave, Sligh Ave. Puritan Rd, Riverhills Dr., Busch Blvd., Temple Heights Rd. Mission Hills Ave., and Whiteway Dr. | 33,000 | 252 | 0.8\% | Distribution | 14 | \$64,000 |
| Park Rd. | Park Rd. at Gordon Food Service Dr. | Operational | Signal modification | 16,000 | 1,152 | 7.2\% | Regional | 13 | \$5,000 |

Table 5 (Continued)

## Tier I Freight-Related Projects

| Corridor | Project | Type | Further Description | AADT | AADTT | \% Trucks | Corridor <br> Type (SIS/ <br> Regional/ <br> Distribution | Total Freight Impact Score | Estimated Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Turkey Creek Rd. | Turkey Creek Rd. at Airport Rd. | Operational | Turn radii. Repair shoulder pavement at the SE corner. | 2,300 | 168 | 7.3\% | Distribution | 12 | \$9,600 |
| SR 574/MLK Blvd. | SR 574/MLK Blvd. at Alexander St. | Operational | Signal modification | 7,000 | 385 | 5.5\% | Distribution | 12 | \$10,000 |
| Port | McClosky Blvd. and Maritime Blvd. | Maintenance | Maintenance/resurfacing. Upgrade/Replace asphalt/timber railroad crossings with concrete |  |  |  |  | 0 | \$166,320 |
| Port | Maritime Blvd. at railroad crossing | Maintenance | railroad crossing improvement/ replacement |  |  |  |  | 0 | \$63,000 |
| Port | Guy Verger Blvd. at railroad crossing | Maintenance | Maintenance/resurfacing. Upgrade/Replace asphalt/timber railroad crossings (2) with concrete |  |  |  |  | 0 | \$406,296 |

## TABLE 6

## tier II Freight-Related Projects

| Corridor | Project | Type | Further Description | AADT | AADTT | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Trucks } \end{gathered}$ | Corridor <br> Type (SIS/ <br> Regional/ <br> Distribution | Total <br> Freight <br> Impact <br> Score | Estimated Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US 41 | US 41 (S. Rockport Lead to N. Rockport) | Grade Separation | Railroad Grade Separation over CSX Rockport Lead (28 trains per day. Average delay 10-15 minutes per crossing) | 27,551 | 3,166 | 11.5\% | Regional | 36 | \$50,652,000 |

TABLE 7
Tier III Freight-Related Projects

| Corridor | Project | Type | Further Description | AADT | AADTT | \% Trucks | Corridor <br> Type (SIS/ <br> Regional/ <br> Distribution | Estimated Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alternative 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Causeway Blvd. | Causeway Blvd. east of US 41 | Grade Separation | Railroad crossing improvement/ replacement potential grade separation (31 trains per day. Average delay 8-10 minutes per crossing) | 23,500 | 1,692 | 7.2\% | Regional | \$37,520,000 |
| Alternative 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Branch Forbes Rd. | Branch Forbes Rd. (SR 60 to SR 574) | Freight <br> Capacity | Extend Branch Forbes Rd. 0 to 4 lanes, Capacity to connect to SR 60. Widen from 2-4 from US 92 to new roadway. | NA | NA | NA | Regional | \$25,668,700 |

- Will the project reduce infrastructure maintenance costs such as improving pavement conditions from asphalt to concrete at high use truck intersections and approaches, changing curb radii to reduce the need for replacement due to truck off-tracking, relocation of utilities, signal poles, and other infrastructure to eliminate damage by trucks"?
- Will the project improve safety? Will it reduce truck-related crashes?

Projects scoring the highest have the greatest effect on freight operations and can be compared to the project cost to determine an investment's cost effectiveness.

### 5.1 Project Scoring

Each project was scored according to the following points system shown in Table 8. The results are located in Appendix C, Freight Project Impact Scoring.

### 5.2 Freight Impact to Cost Ratio

The overall scores for each project are grouped into five "Freight Operations Impact" categories (Table 9): Low, Low-Moderate, Moderate, High Moderate, and High. These categories were compared to the project cost range to get a Freight Impact to Project Cost Ratio or project value.

The resulting matrix (Table 10) shows the relationship of a freight-related improvement on the freight system compared to a range of project costs. Freight investments scoring 2.0 (green) or more would be the most cost effective based on the ability of the project to mitigate an identified freight issue. Investments scoring between 1.0 and 1.99 (yellow) would be moderately cost effective and those scoring under 1.0 (red) would be the least cost effective.

## Table 8

## freight Project Prioritization Scoring

|  | Freight Corridor Impact |  |  |  |  | Potential Freight Project Impact |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Points | AADT | AADTT | \% Trucks | Corridor Type | Congested Corridor Cong. vs. v/c | Reduce Delay | Improve Truck Ops. | Reduce Maint. | Safety |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | No | No | No | No | No |
| 1 | <5,000 | <500 | <2.00 | Other |  | Low | Low | Low |  |
| 2 | 5,000-19,999 | 501-1,499 | 2.0-3.9 | FAC Connector |  |  |  | High |  |
| 3 | 20,000-39,999 | 1,500-2,499 | 4.0-5.9 | Distribution | 1.0-1.49 | Mod | Mod |  | High |
| 4 | 40,000-59,999 | 2,500-3,999 | 6.0-7.9 | Regional |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 60,000-79,999 | 4,000-5,499 | 8.0-9.9 | SIS | $\geq 1.5$ | High | High |  |  |
| 6 | 80,000-99,999 | 5,500-6,999 | 10.0-11.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 100,000-119,999 | 7,000-8,499 | 12.0-13.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 120,000-139,999 | 8,500-9,999 | >14.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 140,000-159,999 | 10,000-11,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | >160,000 | >11,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9
Truck Impact and Cost Levels

| Freight Operations <br> Impacts | Truck Impact <br> Score | Points | Project Cost | Project Cost Range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low | $<15$ | 1 | Low | $<\$ 100,000$ |
| Low-Moderate | $15-19$ | 2 | Medium | $\$ 100 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 1$ million |
| Moderate | $20-24$ | 3 | High | $>\$ 1$ million |
| High-Moderate | $25-29$ | 4 |  |  |
| High | $\geq 30$ | 5 |  |  |

TABLE 10
Project Cost vs. Freight Operations Impacts Ratio

|  |  | Freight Operations Impacts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Low (1) | Low- <br> Moderate (2) | Moderate (3) | High- <br> Moderate (4) | High (5) |
| Project Cost | Low (1) | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
|  | Medium (2) | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.50 | 2.0 | 2.5 |
|  | High (3) | 0.33 | . 66 | 1.0 | 1.33 | 1.66 |

## APPENDIX A

AM and PM PTIs

AM Planning Time Indices (PTIs)

| CORRIDOR | TTI_M | TTI_P80 | TTI_P95 | COUNTY | COR_LABEL | FROM | TO | TIME_PERIOD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.733584985 | 2.2772377 | 2.752319634 |  | US 41 (Hillsborough Co) | Manatee / Hillsborough Co Line | Big Bend Rd | AM |
| 2 | 2.696673248 | 3.308103259 | 3.933603745 |  | US 41 (Hillsborough Co) | Big Bend Rd | Selmon Crosstown Expwy | AM |
| 3 | 1.197956957 | 1.308364251 | 1.572437002 |  | US 41 (Hillsborough Co) | Busch Blvd | Bearss | AM |
| 4 | 2.249075644 | 3.077571792 | 3.490236446 |  | US 41 (Hillsborough Co) | Bearss | Hillsborough / Pasco Co Line | AM |
| 10 | 1.317321429 | 1.526604891 | 1.855622394 |  | 1-4 (Hillsborough Co) | 1-275 | $1-75$ | AM |
| 11 | 1.738658455 | 2.287014702 | 2.637007801 |  | 1-4 (Hillsborough Co) | 1-75 | Hillsborough / Polk County Line | AM |
| 13 | 1.215114918 | 1.337756772 | 1.618567133 |  | SR 60 / Courtney Campbell Causeway (Hillsborough Co | Pinellas / Hillsborough Co Line | Eisenhower Blvd / Veterans Expwy / SR 589 | AM |
| 14 | 1.337665916 | 1.550411771 | 1.928508997 |  | SR 60 / Kennedy Blvd / Memorial Hwy (Hillsborough C | Kennedy Blvd / SR 60 | Courtney Campbell Causeway | AM |
| 15 | 1.773999766 | 2.368623158 | 2.700818814 |  | SR 60 / Adamo Dr (Hillsborough Co) | Channelside Dr | 50th St | AM |
| 16 | 2.091361468 | 2.723024175 | 3.100448404 |  | SR 60 / Adamo Dr (Hillsborough Co) | 50th St | US 301 | AM |
| 17 | 1.725515349 | 2.290551814 | 2.622317971 |  | SR 60 / Adamo Dr (Hillsborough Co) | US 301 | 1-75 | AM |
| 18 | 2.000515571 | 2.655974611 | 3.018923763 |  | SR 60 (Hillsborough Co) | 1-75 | Turkey Creek Rd | AM |
| 25 | 1.708893035 | 2.260714127 | 2.602997895 |  | US 92 / Gandy Blvd (Hillsborough Co) | Pinellas / Hillsborough Co Line | Dale Mabry Hwy | AM |
| 26 | 1.216183914 | 1.342292044 | 1.614732905 |  | US 92 / SR 574 / MLK Jr Blvd (Hillsborough Co) | 1-275 | 1-4 | AM |
| 27 | 1.655402691 | 2.168237513 | 2.522941222 |  | US 92 / SR 574 / MLK Jr Blvd (Hillsborough Co) | 1-4 | $1-75$ | AM |
| 28 | 1.888124872 | 2.533315282 | 2.858018955 |  | US 92 / SR 574 / MLK Jr Blvd (Hillsborough Co) | 1-75 | Alexander St | AM |
| 29 | 1.026204017 | 1.033764924 | 1.085358772 |  | US 92 (Hillsborough Co) | Alexander St | Hillsborough / Polk Co Line | AM |
| 44 | 2.070271116 | 2.801765277 | 3.161498318 |  | SR 580 / Hillsborough Ave (Hillsborough Co) | Pinellas / Hillsborough Co Line | Memorial Hwy | AM |
| 45 | 2.067786277 | 2.772324986 | 3.177395301 |  | SR 580 / Hillsborough Ave (Hillsborough Co) | Memorial Hwy | Dale Mabry Hwy | AM |
| 48 | 1.341606775 | 1.546149877 | 1.963821416 |  | 1-275 (Hillsborough Co) | Pinellas / Hillsborough Co Line | 1-4 | AM |
| 49 | 1.139336515 | 1.209812773 | 1.415770256 |  | $1-275$ (Hillsborough Co) | 1-4 | Bearss | AM |
| 50 | 1.046080721 | 1.063693288 | 1.146915503 |  | $1-275$ (Hillsborough Co) | Bearss | $1-75 \mathrm{~N}$ | AM |
| 51 | 1.498137396 | 1.879156133 | 2.209613197 |  | 1-75 (Hillsborough Co) | Manatee / Hillsborough Co Line | Big Bend Rd | AM |
| 52 | 1.957203716 | 2.678220248 | 3.046758706 |  | 1-75 (Hillsborough Co) | Big Bend Rd | Leroy Selmon Crosstown Expwy / SR 618 | AM |
| 53 | 1.272623233 | 1.443222188 | 1.753216836 |  | $1-75$ (Hillsborough Co) | Leroy Selmon Crosstown Expwy / SR 618 | 1-4 | AM |
| 55 | 1.075568933 | 1.106715143 | 1.237672537 |  | $1-75$ (Hillsborough Co) | 1-4 | 1-275 | AM |
| 58 | 1.154084961 | 1.227164734 | 1.470590927 |  | Dale Mabry Hwy / US 92 (Hillsborough Co) | Interbay Blvd | Kennedy Blvd | AM |
| 59 | 1.182530715 | 1.278482951 | 1.539485127 |  | Dale Mabry Hwy / US 92 (Hillsborough Co) | Kennedy Blva | Hillsborough Ave | AM |
| 60 | 1.686019836 | 2.224035133 | 2.582039432 |  | Dale Mabry Hwy (Hillsborough Co) | Hillsborough Ave | US 41 | AM |
| 61 | 2.059535786 | 2.811886138 | 3.315716533 |  | Fowler Ave (Hillsborough Co) | 1-275 | 1-75 | AM |
| 62 | 1.36004273 | 1.59899444 | 1.968514361 |  | US 301 (Hillsborough Co) | Manatee / Hillsborough Co Line | Big Bend Road | AM |
| 63 | 2.402562474 | 3.141273636 | 3.607293086 |  | US 301 (Hillsborough Co) | Big Bend Road | Leroy Selmon Crosstown Expwy / SR 618 | AM |
| 64 | 1.596924693 | 2.060058036 | 2.384574829 |  | US 301 (Hillsborough Co) | Leroy Selmon Crosstown Expwy / SR 618 | 1-4 | AM |
| 65 | 1.246148511 | 1.388127839 | 1.704099316 |  | US 301 (Hillsborough Co) | 1-4 | Fowler Ave | AM |
| 66 | 1.384255721 | 1.6491724 | 2.013647425 |  | US 301 (Hillsborough Co) | Fowler Ave | Hillsborough / Pasco Co Line | AM |
| 77 | 1.622954877 | 2.10984471 | 2.462269033 |  | Gunn Hwy (Hillsborough Co) | Dale Mabry Hwy / SR 597 | Veterans Expy | AM |
| 78 | 1.768322227 | 2.353770044 | 2.735983204 |  | Gunn Hwy (Hillsborough Co) | Veterans Expwy / SR 589 | Hillsborough / Pasco Co Line | AM |
| 84 | 1.240005377 | 1.37087771 | 1.7014848 |  | SR 574 / MLK Jr Blvd (Hillsborough Co) | Dale Mabry Hwy / SR 597 | 1-275 | AM |
| 85 | 1.530529206 | 1.912586894 | 2.345706759 |  | Westshore Blvd (Hillsborough Co) | Gandy Blvd | Kennedy Blvd | AM |
| 86 | 1.553330453 | 1.981552897 | 2.314048577 |  | Westshore Blvd (Hillsborough Co) | Kennedy Blvd / SR 60 | Spruce St / Boy Scout Blvd | AM |
| 87 | 1.69503498 | 2.211490389 | 2.730415486 |  | Boy Scout Blvd / Spruce St (Hillsborough Co) | Memorial Hwy | Dale Mabry Hwy | AM |
| 88 | 1.011719254 | 1.01441484 | 1.038314306 |  | Leroy Selmon Crosstown Expwy (Hillsborough Co) | Gandy Blvd | Willow Ave | AM |
| 89 | 1.985560304 | 2.742192912 | 3.14654777 |  | Leroy Selmon Crosstown Expwy (Hillsborough Co) | Willow Ave | 1 -75 | AM |
| 92 | 1.799677849 | 2.388479763 | 2.843527132 |  | Kennedy Blvd (Hillsborough Co) | Memorial Hwy / SR 60 | Dale Mabry Hwy / SR 597 | AM |
| 93 | 1.065386263 | 1.091026862 | 1.208298418 |  | Veteran Expwy (Hillsborough Co) | Hillsborough Ave | Veterans Expy Spur | AM |
| 94 | 2.499709108 | 3.421188312 | 3.909024586 |  | N Suncoast Expwy (Hillsborough) | Veterans Expy / SR 589 | Lutz Lake Fern | AM |
| 95 | 1.024344104 | 1.03242157 | 1.078838471 |  | Gibsonton Rd (Hillsborough Co) | US 41 | $1-75$ | AM |
| 96 | 1.258961485 | 1.413985614 | 1.730820713 |  | CR 39 (Hillsborough Co) | SR 674 / Ruskin-Wimauma Rd | SR 60 | AM |
| 97 | 1.135966397 | 1.197556882 | 1.420592434 |  | Branch Forbes Rd (Hillsborough Co) | SR 574 / Dr Martin Lurther King Jr Blvd | Thonotosassa Rd | AM |
| 98 | 1.125715414 | 1.18234536 | 1.389147853 |  | Sheldon Rd (Hillsborough Co) | Hillsborough Ave | Ehrlich Rd | AM |
| 99 | 2.032575239 | 2.703856295 | 3.181529657 |  | Bearss Ave / Bruce B Downs Blvd (Hillsborough Co) | Florida Ave | 30th St | AM |
| 100 | 1.7341335 | 2.299729982 | 2.618238934 |  | Bearss Ave / Bruce B Downs Blvd (Hillsborough Co) | Bruce B Downs Blvd / 30th St / CR 581 | Cross Creek Rd | AM |


| CORRIDOR | TTI_M | TTI_P80 | TTI_P95 | COUNTY | COR_LABEL | FROM | T0 | TIME_PERIOD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 125 | 1.000008211 | 1 | 1.000027097 |  | 1 Crosstown / I-4 Connector (Hillsborough Co) | Leroy Selmon Crosstown Expwy / SR 618 | 1-4 | AM |
| 126 | 3.064715166 | 3.905563699 | 4.639171955 |  | 1 Brandon Prkwy (Hillsborough Co) | 1-75 | CR 676 / Lumsden Rd | AM |
| 127 | 1.002809426 | 1.00144303 | 1.009255305 |  | 1 Veterans Expy Spur | Veterans Expy Spur | Dale Mabry Hwy N | AM |
| 134 | 1.685218142 | 2.193738535 | 2.722253554 |  | 1 Leroy Selmon REL | 1-75 | Downtown | AM |
| 501 | 2.580962342 | 3.544880081 | 4.102965971 |  | 1 13th St / Channelside Dr | Kennedy Blvd / SR 60 | Adamo Dr | AM |
| 502 | 1.002858712 | 1.00176524 | 1.009415115 |  | 1 Brandon Blvd / SR 60 | Turkey Creek Rd | Hillsborough / Polk Co Line | AM |
| 503 | 1.160079822 | 1.243511044 | 1.473528649 |  | 1 James L Redman Pkwy / CR 39 | SR 60 | Reynolds Rd / SR 574 | AM |
| 504 | 1.411506584 | 1.697647639 | 2.075269829 |  | 1 Wheeler Rd | Reynold Rd / SR 574 | Pasco / Hernando Co Line | AM |
| 505 | 1.700871473 | 2.22133314 | 2.635166665 |  | 1 Hillsborough Ave / SR 580 | Dale Mabry Hwy / SR 597 | US 301 | AM |
| 506 | 2.032507235 | 2.709101975 | 3.182117718 |  | 1 US 41/50th St / SR 45 | Causeway Blvd / 22nd St / SR 676 | US 41 / Melbourne Blvd | AM |
| 507 | 1.041495281 | 1.056877428 | 1.133158558 |  | 1 Melbourne Blvd / US 41 / SR 45 | 50th St | 40th St | AM |
| 508 | 1.043091352 | 1.057935625 | 1.139215123 |  | 1 40th St | Brandon Blvd / Adamo Dr / SR 60 | Hillsborough Ave / SR 580 | AM |
| 509 | 1.025152493 | 1.032294356 | 1.081924348 |  | 1 50th St | Melbourne Blvd / US 41 | US 92 / Hillsborough Ave | AM |
| 510 | 1.206588401 | 1.31210946 | 1.617318884 |  | 156 th St | US 92 / Hillsborough Ave | Fowler Ave | AM |
| 511 | 1.088860389 | 1.129485336 | 1.271913813 |  | 1 Florida Ave | Kennedy Blvd / SR 60 | Busch Blvd | AM |
| 512 | 1.175494576 | 1.262040746 | 1.529907193 |  | 1 Nebraska Ave | Kennedy Blvd / SR 60 | Busch Blvd | AM |
| 515 | 1.363636433 | 1.608292609 | 1.968760191 |  | 1 Busch Blvd | Dale Mabry Hwy / SR 597 | Nebraska Ave / US 41 | AM |
| 516 | 1.057565722 | 1.079066677 | 1.184431106 |  | 1 22nd St | Adamo Dr / SR 60 | Hillsborough Ave / SR 580 / US 92 | AM |
| 517 | 1.45646814 | 1.754020875 | 2.240847596 |  | $121 \mathrm{st} \mathrm{St} / \mathrm{SR} 585$ onto 21st Ave | 22nd St / US 41 Bus / SR 45 | 22nd St / SR 585 | AM |
| 518 | 2.127143236 | 2.857045373 | 3.228042171 |  | 1 Causeway Blvd / US 41 Bus / SR 45 / 22nd St | Adamo Dr/SR 60 | US 301 | AM |
| 519 | 1.415383664 | 1.679049841 | 2.142741408 |  | 1 SR 674 / College St | US 41/ SR 45 | Hillsborough / Polk Co Line | AM |
| 520 | 1.000377053 |  | 1.001243919 |  | 1 Henderson Blvd | Morrison Ave | Kennedy Blvd / SR 60 | AM |
| 521 | 1.383314408 | 1.635693236 | 2.035773969 |  | 1 Eisenhower Blvd | Courtney Campbell Cswy | Hillsborough Ave / SR 580 | AM |
| 522 | 1.003660477 | 1.002095 | 1.012055975 |  | 1 Thonotosassa Rd | 1-4 | US 92 / Baker | AM |
| 523 | 1.011135038 | 1.013127289 | 1.036425373 |  | 1 Park Rd | US 92 | 1-4 | AM |
| 524 | 1.813546846 | 2.411951268 | 2.743055429 |  | 1 Fletcher | Dale Mabry Hwy / SR 597 | Nebraska Ave / US 41 | AM |
| 525 | 1.170572045 | 1.254584033 | 1.515177256 |  | 1 40th St | Hillsborough Ave / US 92 | Fowler Ave | AM |
| 526 | 1.008336256 | 1.008607248 | 1.027395616 |  | 1 Jackson St | Ashley St | Meridian Ave | AM |
| 527 | 1.097172981 | 1.137951641 | 1.30582377 |  | 1 MacDill | Boundary Blvd / Dale Mabry Hwy | W Martin Luther King Jr Blvd / SR 574 | AM |
| 528 | 1.861668613 | 2.514943263 | 2.857591204 |  | 1 Lithia Pinecrest Rd | Bloomingdale Ave | Brandon Blvd / Adamo Dr / SR 60 | AM |
| 529 | 1.252801834 | 1.399471309 | 1.721478993 |  | 1 Himes Ave | Swann Ave | Busch Blvd | AM |
| 530 | 1.167021211 | 1.25159702 | 1.499332131 |  | 1 Armenia Ave | Swann Ave | Busch Blvd | AM |
| 557 | 1.6347389 | 2.095767707 | 2.621415477 |  | 1 Kennedy Blvd / SR 60 | Dale Mabry | 13th St / Channelside Dr | AM |
| 564 | 1.616220849 | 2.095233214 | 2.435312628 |  | 1 Hillsborough Ave / SR 580 | US 301 | Thonotosassa Rd | AM |
| 565 | 1.982767829 | 2.717705549 | 3.089335738 |  | 1 Florida Ave | Busch Blvd | Nebraska Ave / US 41 | AM |
| 566 | 2.139960152 | 3.021371116 | 3.509827577 |  | 1 Fletcher | Nebraska Ave / US 41 | 1-75 | AM |

## PM Planning Time Indices (PTIs)

| CORRIDOR | TTI_M | TTI_P80 | TTI_P95 | COUNTY | COR_LABEL | FROM | TO | TIME_PERIOD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.690817858 | 2.204242818 | 2.728594553 |  | US 41 (Hillsborough Co) | Manatee / Hillsborough Co Line | Big Bend Rd | PM |
| 2 | 2.446437888 | 3.18588254 | 3.811690813 |  | US 41 (Hillsborough Co) | Big Bend Rd | Selmon Crosstown Expwy | PM |
| 3 | 1.558197621 | 1.962034266 | 2.409649821 | 1 | US 41 (Hillsborough Co) | Busch Blvd | Bearss | PM |
| 4 | 2.027993592 | 2.702081566 | 3.04744566 | 1 | US 41 (Hillsborough Co) | Bearss | Hillsborough / Pasco Co Line | PM |
| 10 | 1.479175571 | 1.824763319 | 2.216940417 |  | I-4 (Hillsborough Co) | 1-275 | I-75 | PM |
| 11 | 2.158789057 | 2.994581269 | 3.502682531 |  | I-4 (Hillsborough Co) | I-75 | Hillsborough / Polk County Line | PM |
| 13 | 1.442243469 | 1.770267266 | 2.104471468 | 1 | SR 60 / Courtney Campbell Causeway (Hillsborough Co | Pinellas / Hillsborough Co Line | Eisenhower Blvd / Veterans Expwy / SR 589 | PM |
| 14 | 1.401761314 | 1.680965143 | 2.049848245 | 1 | SR 60 / Kennedy Blvd / Memorial Hwy (Hillsborough C | Kennedy Blvd / SR 60 | Courtney Campbell Causeway | PM |
| 15 | 1.92204344 | 2.633906625 | 3.143603348 |  | SR 60 / Adamo Dr (Hillsborough Co) | Channelside Dr | 50th St | PM |
| 16 | 2.444674045 | 3.417056003 | 3.93344628 | 1 | SR 60 / Adamo Dr (Hillsborough Co) | 50th St | US 301 | PM |
| 17 | 1.579235571 | 2.014823248 | 2.392866365 |  | SR 60 / Adamo Dr (Hillsborough Co) | US 301 | I-75 | PM |
| 18 | 2.019265139 | 2.734697427 | 3.23259006 | 1 | SR 60 (Hillsborough Co) | I-75 | Turkey Creek Rd | PM |
| 25 | 1.888902054 | 2.539373145 | 3.00310143 |  | US 92 / Gandy Blvd (Hillsborough Co) | Pinellas / Hillsborough Co Line | Dale Mabry Hwy | PM |
| 26 | 1.406046509 | 1.662915624 | 2.11863973 | 1 | US 92 / SR 574 / MLK Jr Blvd (Hillsborough Co) | 1-275 | I-4 | PM |
| 27 | 1.665687287 | 2.156449347 | 2.649869582 | 1 | US 92 / SR 574 / MLK Jr Blvd (Hillsborough Co) | 1-4 | I-75 | PM |
| 28 | 1.845032697 | 2.45032053 | 2.885430163 |  | US 92 / SR 574 / MLK Jr Blvd (Hillsborough Co) | I-75 | Alexander St | PM |
| 29 | 1.090520277 | 1.127845217 | 1.285901348 | 1 | US 92 (Hillsborough Co) | Alexander St | Hillsborough / Polk Co Line | PM |
| 44 | 2.004722887 | 2.648675501 | 3.068349431 |  | SR 580 / Hillsborough Ave (Hillsborough Co) | Pinellas / Hillsborough Co Line | Memorial Hwy | PM |
| 45 | 2.074089881 | 2.768853383 | 3.257328456 | 1 | SR 580 / Hillsborough Ave (Hillsborough Co) | Memorial Hwy | Dale Mabry Hwy | PM |
| 48 | 1.964328306 | 2.697034992 | 3.202422928 |  | I-275 (Hillsborough Co) | Pinellas / Hillsborough Co Line | I-4 | PM |
| 49 | 1.510428616 | 1.890099591 | 2.260870274 | 1 | 1-275 (Hillsborough Co) | 1-4 | Bearss | PM |
| 50 | 1.117207715 | 1.17394694 | 1.353981655 | 1 | I-275 (Hillsborough Co) | Bearss | I-75 N | PM |
| 51 | 1.499147767 | 1.866318181 | 2.243367993 |  | I-75 (Hillsborough Co) | Manatee / Hillsborough Co Line | Big Bend Rd | PM |
| 52 | 1.841909971 | 2.454236228 | 2.855191594 | 1 | I-75 (Hillsborough Co) | Big Bend Rd | Leroy Selmon Crosstown Expwy / SR 618 | PM |
| 53 | 1.402587261 | 1.667525206 | 2.086122904 |  | 1-75 (Hillsborough Co) | Leroy Selmon Crosstown Expwy / SR 618 | 1-4 | PM |
| 55 | 1.413549003 | 1.674162353 | 2.141947824 | 1 | I-75 (Hillsborough Co) | 1-4 | 1-275 | PM |
| 58 | 1.655145773 | 2.135356096 | 2.662479325 | 1 | Dale Mabry Hwy / US 92 (Hillsborough Co) | Interbay Blvd | Kennedy Blvd | PM |
| 59 | 1.569264763 | 1.978328393 | 2.449990668 | 1 | Dale Mabry Hwy / US 92 (Hillsborough Co) | Kennedy Blvd | Hillsborough Ave | PM |
| 60 | 1.646805438 | 2.14100819 | 2.501536911 | 1 | Dale Mabry Hwy (Hillsborough Co) | Hillsborough Ave | US 41 | PM |
| 61 | 2.119658551 | 2.987699808 | 3.477967673 |  | Fowler Ave (Hillsborough Co) | 1-275 | I-75 | PM |
| 62 | 1.398890436 | 1.663371823 | 2.07264845 | 1 | US 301 (Hillsborough Co) | Manatee / Hillsborough Co Line | Big Bend Road | PM |
| 63 | 2.130876923 | 2.800770874 | 3.294305956 | 1 | US 301 (Hillsborough Co) | Big Bend Road | Leroy Selmon Crosstown Expwy / SR 618 | PM |
| 64 | 1.785539356 | 2.379523735 | 2.889601255 | 1 | US 301 (Hillsborough Co) | Leroy Selmon Crosstown Expwy / SR 618 | 1-4 | PM |
| 65 | 1.614508012 | 2.068473591 | 2.516622652 | 1 | US 301 (Hillsborough Co) | 1-4 | Fowler Ave | PM |
| 66 | 1.310796068 | 1.510936546 | 1.847958257 | 1 | US 301 (Hillsborough Co) | Fowler Ave | Hillsborough / Pasco Co Line | PM |
| 77 | 1.641815458 | 2.133060947 | 2.479659102 | 1 | Gunn Hwy (Hillsborough Co) | Dale Mabry Hwy / SR 597 | Veterans Expy | PM |
| 78 | 1.657284214 | 2.143433856 | 2.606433165 | 1 | Gunn Hwy (Hillsborough Co) | Veterans Expwy / SR 589 | Hillsborough / Pasco Co Line | PM |
| 84 | 1.982054891 | 2.752398983 | 3.256900276 |  | SR 574 / MLK Jr Blvd (Hillsborough Co) | Dale Mabry Hwy / SR 597 | 1-275 | PM |
| 85 | 1.729536217 | 2.273237483 | 2.767305109 |  | Westshore Blvd (Hillsborough Co) | Gandy Blvd | Kennedy Blvd | PM |
| 86 | 2.150941309 | 3.019694472 | 3.514986428 | 1 | Westshore Blvd (Hillsborough Co) | Kennedy Blvd / SR 60 | Spruce St / Boy Scout Blvd | PM |
| 87 | 2.078528466 | 2.918976443 | 3.413051008 | 1 | Boy Scout Blvd / Spruce St (Hillsborough Co) | Memorial Hwy | Dale Mabry Hwy | PM |
| 88 | 1.226270813 | 1.361239976 | 1.637663661 | 1 | Leroy Selmon Crosstown Expwy (Hillsborough Co) | Gandy Blvd | Willow Ave | PM |
| 89 | 1.621246467 | 2.081066492 | 2.518712889 | 1 | Leroy Selmon Crosstown Expwy (Hillsborough Co) | Willow Ave | I-75 | PM |
| 92 | 1.99442525 | 2.775015605 | 3.277978272 | 1 | Kennedy Blvd (Hillsborough Co) | Memorial Hwy / SR 60 | Dale Mabry Hwy / SR 597 | PM |
| 93 | 1.158227075 | 1.237939169 | 1.4742643 |  | Veteran Expwy (Hillsborough Co) | Hillsborough Ave | Veterans Expy Spur | PM |
| 94 | 2.575406934 | 3.299621512 | 3.84057916 |  | N Suncoast Expwy (Hillsborough) | Veterans Expy / SR 589 | Lutz Lake Fern | PM |


| CORRIDOR | TTI_M | TTI_P80 | TTI_P95 | COUNTY | COR_LABEL | FROM | TO | TIME_PERIOD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 95 | 1.038883265 | 1.053213241 | 1.124098462 | 1 | Gibsonton Rd (Hillsborough Co) | US 41 | I-75 | PM |
| 96 | 1.107344297 | 1.157338638 | 1.327873663 | 1 | CR 39 (Hillsborough Co) | SR 674 / Ruskin-Wimauma Rd | SR 60 | PM |
| 97 | 1.160703465 | 1.238457434 | 1.487926881 | 1 | Branch Forbes Rd (Hillsborough Co) | SR 574 / Dr Martin Lurther King Jr Blvd | Thonotosassa Rd | PM |
| 98 | 1.435593685 | 1.717003793 | 2.188461546 |  | Sheldon Rd (Hillsborough Co) | Hillsborough Ave | Ehrlich Rd | PM |
| 99 | 2.713775765 | 3.699937488 | 4.280197803 | 1 | Bearss Ave / Bruce B Downs Blvd (Hillsborough Co) | Florida Ave | 30th St | PM |
| 100 | 1.918835588 | 2.551763378 | 2.98055246 | 1 | Bearss Ave / Bruce B Downs Blvd (Hillsborough Co) | Bruce B Downs Blvd / 30th St / CR 581 | Cross Creek Rd | PM |
| 125 | 1.000037459 | 1 | 1.000123611 | 1 | Crosstown / I-4 Connector (Hillsborough Co) | Leroy Selmon Crosstown Expwy / SR 618 | 1-4 | PM |
| 126 | 2.308873428 | 3.174275483 | 3.608272955 | 1 | Brandon Prkwy (Hillsborough Co) | I-75 | CR 676 / Lumsden Rd | PM |
| 127 | 1.001267978 | 1 | 1.004180196 | 1 | Veterans Expy Spur | Veterans Expy Spur | Dale Mabry Hwy N | PM |
| 134 | 1.864963914 | 2.538351627 | 3.056697622 | 1 | Leroy Selmon REL | I-75 | Downtown | PM |
| 501 | 3.313366979 | 4.087329789 | 4.953047692 | 1 | 13th St / Channelside Dr | Kennedy Blvd / SR 60 | Adamo Dr | PM |
| 502 | 1.01048783 | 1.011634751 | 1.034379481 | 1 | Brandon Blvd / SR 60 | Turkey Creek Rd | Hillsborough / Polk Co Line | PM |
| 503 | 1.351634399 | 1.580346038 | 1.954522826 | 1 | James L Redman Pkwy / CR 39 | SR 60 | Reynolds Rd / SR 574 | PM |
| 504 | 1.560164346 | 1.958390314 | 2.438791856 | 1 | Wheeler Rd | Reynold Rd / SR 574 | Pasco / Hernando Co Line | PM |
| 505 | 2.399112197 | 3.390009669 | 3.883893946 | 1 | Hillsborough Ave / SR 580 | Dale Mabry Hwy / SR 597 | US 301 | PM |
| 506 | 2.343943646 | 3.325914983 | 3.810792013 | 1 | US 41 / 50th St / SR 45 | Causeway Blvd / 22nd St / SR 676 | US 41 / Melbourne Blvd | PM |
| 507 | 1.027553718 | 1.035846645 | 1.089310315 | 1 | Melbourne Blvd / US 41 / SR 45 | 50th St | 40th St | PM |
| 508 | 1.273423868 | 1.42449411 | 1.795709216 | 1 | 40th St | Brandon Blvd / Adamo Dr / SR 60 | Hillsborough Ave / SR 580 | PM |
| 509 | 1.350659423 | 1.571273958 | 1.967559518 | 1 | 50th St | Melbourne Blvd / US 41 | US 92 / Hillsborough Ave | PM |
| 510 | 1.976187915 | 2.737833913 | 3.24321942 | 1 | 56th St | US 92 / Hillsborough Ave | Fowler Ave | PM |
| 511 | 1.403901912 | 1.668333114 | 2.094531262 | 1 | Florida Ave | Kennedy Blvd / SR 60 | Busch Blvd | PM |
| 512 | 1.318240852 | 1.512042684 | 1.891124283 | 1 | Nebraska Ave | Kennedy Blvd / SR 60 | Busch Blvd | PM |
| 515 | 1.944351337 | 2.672421708 | 3.180273817 | 1 | Busch Blvd | Dale Mabry Hwy / SR 597 | Nebraska Ave / US 41 | PM |
| 516 | 1.283661576 | 1.4553661 | 1.795855232 | 1 | 22nd St | Adamo Dr / SR 60 | Hillsborough Ave / SR 580 / US 92 | PM |
| 517 | 1.507601322 | 1.850739823 | 2.354715536 | 1 | 21st St / SR 585 onto 21st Ave | 22nd St / US 41 Bus / SR 45 | 22nd St / SR 585 | PM |
| 518 | 2.046783921 | 2.729709918 | 3.208415272 | 1 | Causeway Blvd / US 41 Bus / SR 45 / 22nd St | Adamo Dr / SR 60 | US 301 | PM |
| 519 | 1.483499865 | 1.806998916 | 2.295815001 | 1 | SR 674 / College St | US 41 / SR 45 | Hillsborough / Polk Co Line | PM |
| 520 | 1.002069045 | 1.000810578 | 1.006818187 | 1 | Henderson Blvd | Morrison Ave | Kennedy Blvd / SR 60 | PM |
| 521 | 1.404913977 | 1.65817143 | 2.121870942 | 1 | Eisenhower Blvd | Courtney Campbell Cswy | Hillsborough Ave / SR 580 | PM |
| 522 | 1.032388465 | 1.042635963 | 1.105036708 | 1 | Thonotosassa Rd | I-4 | US 92 / Baker | PM |
| 523 | 1.060703556 | 1.084476848 | 1.192374768 | 1 | Park Rd | US 92 | I-4 | PM |
| 524 | 2.401050243 | 3.232090511 | 3.807668304 | 1 | Fletcher | Dale Mabry Hwy / SR 597 | Nebraska Ave / US 41 | PM |
| 525 | 1.698992621 | 2.220283635 | 2.747377916 | 1 | 40th St | Hillsborough Ave / US 92 | Fowler Ave | PM |
| 526 | 1.994819944 | 2.775938436 | 3.278827516 | 1 | Jackson St | Ashley St | Meridian Ave | PM |
| 527 | 1.445036504 | 1.733969574 | 2.211528873 | 1 | MacDill | Boundary Blvd / Dale Mabry Hwy | W Martin Luther King Jr Blvd / SR 574 | PM |
| 528 | 1.542447856 | 1.943505416 | 2.340613643 | 1 | Lithia Pinecrest Rd | Bloomingdale Ave | Brandon Blvd / Adamo Dr / SR 60 | PM |
| 529 | 1.504163462 | 1.860172018 | 2.303019083 | 1 | Himes Ave | Swann Ave | Busch Blvd | PM |
| 530 | 1.293942961 | 1.465858848 | 1.836799072 | 1 | Armenia Ave | Swann Ave | Busch Blvd | PM |
| 557 | 2.372335853 | 3.336480373 | 3.838155673 | 1 | Kennedy Blvd / SR 60 | Dale Mabry | 13th St / Channelside Dr | PM |
| 564 | 1.556608463 | 1.963916949 | 2.388843827 | 1 | Hillsborough Ave / SR 580 | US 301 | Thonotosassa Rd | PM |
| 565 | 1.797033427 | 2.390977654 | 2.725382358 | 1 | Florida Ave | Busch Blvd | Nebraska Ave / US 41 | PM |
| 566 | 2.330178825 | 3.300569638 | 3.788356708 | 1 | Fletcher | Nebraska Ave / US 41 | 1-75 | PM |

## APPENDIX B

Freight Identified Capacity and Maintenance Projects Not Included in Investment Program

| coridor | Project | Type | Furter Descripion |  | FID | Aadt | AADT | \% Trucks |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { AAOT } \\ 1.10 \text { pis }}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { a } \\ \text { A0ptis } \\ \text { pis }}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { limpores } \\ \text { anter } \end{array}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Toal } \\ \text { Poins }}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Impact on } \\ \text { Truct ons } \\ \text { 1.5pis } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\square$ |  | Type of Neds | wies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.4 | $1.44(1275$ inecrchange to Us301) | Capacity | 31012 lanes, Capacti, Managed Lanes | \% |  |  |  |  | sis | $\stackrel{9}{9}$ | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{45}$ | 5 | 3 | 1.67 | LRTP Needs | Coridor-Based Needs |
| ${ }^{12755}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {Capadil }}^{\substack{\text { Capacity }}}$ |  | ${ }_{50}^{68}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {144,000 }}$ 67,500 |  | 5.10\% | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Sis }}}^{\text {sis }}$ | ${ }_{5}$ | $\stackrel{7}{5}$ | ${ }_{5}^{3}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{3}^{5}$ | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | ${ }_{30}^{40}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }^{\frac{3}{3}}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.67} 1.67$ | ${ }_{\text {Litip Neods }}^{\text {LRTPeos }}$ | Corimorafage Neods |
| SR 60 | SR80 (Falkenurs 90 Valicio) | Opera | Congestion due to signalization, narrow lanes, excessive driveways, and uncontrolled median openings through | 10 |  | ${ }_{\substack{83,000 \\ 57,000}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { a.941- } \\ 3,08}}$ | 5.4\% | sis | ${ }_{6}$ | 5 | 3 | ${ }_{5}$ | 5 | 3 | 3 | 1 | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{34}$ | 5 | 3 | 1.67 | TBRGMS Needs | mrior-Based Neess |
| Suncost |  |  |  | ${ }_{9}^{95}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{32500 \\ 565500}}$ | ${ }^{3.072}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {REGIIONAL }}^{\text {SIS }}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{4}$ | $\stackrel{5}{2}$ | ${ }_{4}^{5}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | 5 <br> 5 | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | ${ }_{4}^{5}$ | ${ }^{\frac{3}{3}}$ | 1.67 <br> 1.38 <br> 1.0 | ${ }_{\text {Litip Needs }}^{\text {Sis Neoss }}$ | Coridor fase Needs |
| Oae Maby | Oaie Maby (kemney to Inetebay) | Capacity | ${ }_{4} 4106$ lanes, Capacity, Operational ssues | 104 |  | ${ }^{30.0000}$ | ${ }^{1,067-}$ | 3.6\% | REGIINaL | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | . | 3 | ${ }^{28}$ | 4 | , | ${ }_{1}^{1.33}$ | TrBems Needs | Corior-Basad Needs |
| Veleans | Vveierans Courtey Campell 1 S Sunoast) | ${ }^{\text {capapaity }}$ | ${ }^{6} 108$ alanes, Capacily | ${ }^{39}$ |  | 䢔 |  | 9.6\% | sis | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 3 | ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{27}$ | 4 | 3 | ${ }^{1.33}$ | LRTP CA | Corior.fasaed Needs |
| Us 41 | US 41 Madison 10 Broadway) | Capaity | 4066 anes. | ${ }^{28}$ |  |  |  |  | REGIONAL | 3 | 4 | ${ }^{6}$ | 4 | ${ }^{3}$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | ${ }^{26}$ | 4 | 3 | ${ }^{1.33}$ | Port Master Pan Neods | Coridor-Based Needs |
| MadsonProgerss | Profeses bid rizhir Feakenurg) | Capacty | 40, 4 larses Capacily | ${ }^{37}$ |  | 12.800 | ${ }^{1,1788}$ | 9,2\% | ${ }^{\text {Dist }}$ | 2 | 2 | 5 | ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 5 | ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{23}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 1.00 | Port Master Plan Needs | Corruor Based Neads |
| Sf674 |  |  | Sumsand |  | $r$ | 13,100 | 1,284 | 9.8\% | Regional | 2 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 3 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 1 | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{23}$ | 3 | 3 | 1.00 | TBEGMSCFFID Needs |  |
| SR674 |  | Oenemitionl | Opeaional issues Sustandard pavenet | 92 |  | 6,100 | 598 | 9.9\% | REGİNaL | 2 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | ${ }^{23}$ | 3 | 3 | 1.00 | TBRGMSCFFII Needs | Coridor-Based Needs |
| Us 41 |  | Mainenance | Subsandard pavement |  | $r$ | 27,551 | 3,168 | 11.5\% | REGIONAL | ${ }^{3}$ | 4 | ${ }^{6}$ | 4 | ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 3 | 0 | 。 | ${ }^{23}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 1.00 | TBRGMSCFFID Neds | Soridor Needs |
| US922 |  | ${ }_{\text {Capactiv }}^{\text {Copacty }}$ |  | 81 <br> 80 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {L }}^{1.077}$ 1,50 | ${ }_{\text {8, }}^{\substack{8.96 \\ 8.50 .}}$ | ${ }_{\text {Regional }}^{\text {Regiont }}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }^{\frac{3}{3}}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{21}^{21}$ | ${ }^{\frac{3}{3}}$ | ${ }^{\frac{3}{3}}$ | 1.00 1.00 1 | ${ }_{\text {Litip Needs }}^{\text {LiPNeos }}$ | Corifor fased Needs |
| Samon | Selmo Exyy (Garayy to Foridid Ave) | Capacity | 4106 annes, , apacily | 49 |  | (22,50. | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { a, } 2170}}_{\text {2, }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{11.076 \\ 8.70}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | SIs | ${ }^{3}$ | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | 5 | 5 | - | ${ }^{3}$ | - | - | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{20}$ | 3 | 3 | 1.00 | SII Needs | Corior-Basad Needs |
| Us92 Fobes |  |  |  | 112 <br> 75 |  | (i.200 | ${ }_{\text {12, }}^{1.164}$ | ${ }^{\frac{14,26}{3.6 \%}}$ | $\frac{\text { disf }}{\text { RESIONAL }}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | 5 | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | 5 | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | ${ }_{3}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{3}$ | ${ }^{20} 19$ | ${ }_{2}^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | $\frac{1.00}{0.67}$ | ${ }_{\text {Litp }}^{\text {Lissoeds }}$ | Corrior-Rased Needs |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{124}^{512}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{6090}$ | ${ }_{\text {2, }}^{2.120}$ |  | ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | 0 | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | - 19 |  |  | $\frac{0.67}{0.67}$ |  |  |
| Stese |  | ${ }^{\text {capacaly }}$ |  | ${ }^{122}$ |  | $\xrightarrow{22,500} 1$ | ${ }_{\text {1, }}^{1.988}$ | ${ }^{\text {a, }} \mathrm{l}$ | ${ }_{\text {OLST }}^{\text {Dist }}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | ${ }_{5}^{4}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | ${ }^{18}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.67}$ | ${ }_{\text {Lifl }}^{\text {Lit Neoeds }}$ | Cormor based Needs |
| US 41 |  | Capacity | 0104 4mes, , apacaily | 62 |  | Na | NA | NA | sis | NA | NA | NA | 5 | 3 |  | 3 |  | 3 | ${ }^{17}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | 3 | 0.67 | 1 issop Nededs | Corior-Basaed Neads |
|  |  | Capactry |  | 110 | $r$ | $\underbrace{58,00}_{\text {2,100 }}$ | ${ }_{1,502}^{1.60}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {REGISONAL }}^{\text {Rist }}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\stackrel{4}{2}$ | ${ }_{4}^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{\text {16 }}^{16}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | ${ }^{\frac{3}{3}}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.67} 0$ |  | Corimor Rased Needs |
| Sprues st |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 42,500 | ${ }^{150}$ | ${ }^{2.006}$ | oist | - | 1 | ${ }^{2}$ | , | - | , | 3 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{14}$ | 1 | , | ${ }^{0.33}$ | TBRGMSCFFID Neds | neisesetion Needs |
| Samalen | Sasalen | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { capaity }}}_{\text {Capacily }}$ |  | ${ }_{5}^{129}$ |  | 3,750 | $\stackrel{266}{504}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {list }}^{\text {list }}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | ${ }_{3}^{4}$ | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{13}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | $\xrightarrow{0.33^{3}} 0$ | ${ }_{\text {Litip Neods }}^{\text {Len }}$ | Corrior-Rased Needs |
| Spouce St | North Lois Avenue at Spruce St |  | Stile |  | $r$ | 48,000 | ${ }^{816}$ | 1.7\% | dist | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | - | 0 | 3 | - | 0 | ${ }^{13}$ | 1 | 3 | ${ }_{0} .33$ | TBRGMSCFFID Neds | neesection Neoss |

## APPENDIX C

## Freight Project Impact Scoring

| coridor | Project | Type | Further Descripion |  |  | CFID | atot | Aadt | \% Trucks | Corridor Type (SIS/ Regional/ Distribution | ${ }_{\substack{\text { afot } \\ 1.0 \text { pis }}}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reduces } \\ \text { Delay } \\ 1,3, \text { or } 5 \mathrm{pts} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {Toal }}$ |  |  |  | $\underbrace{\text { cost }}_{\text {Estinated }}$ | Type of Needs | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US 41 | US41 ( Sockpoot Lead to N Rockport) | Grade Seperation | RRGGade Separaion overc cSX | ${ }^{38}$ | $r$ | $r$ | 27,51 | 3,166 | 11.5\% | REGIONAL | ${ }^{3}$ | 4 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | ${ }^{36}$ | 5 | 3 | 1.67 | \$50,652,00 |  | Also in Regional Goods |
| us41 | US41 Sot firoadway (at $\mathrm{A}^{\text {a Line }}$ | Grade Seperation |  | ${ }^{38}$ | r | r | 36,000 | 3,799 | 10.6\% | REGIINAL | 3 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | ${ }^{36}$ | 5 | 3 | 1.67 | s9,50,0,00 |  | Stem |
| SR 60 | Sf60Adamo Dr. easto t U 41 | Grade Spepation | Grade Separation | ${ }^{24}$ | r | r | 34,000 | 2,652 | 7.8\% | REGINALL | ${ }^{3}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | ${ }^{36}$ | 5 | 3 | 1.67 | S104,612,000 |  | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {Assoin Reaional }}$ Moomens |
| SR 60 |  | Grade Spepation | Grade separaion | 82 |  |  | 35,500 | 2,556 | ${ }^{7.2 \%}$ | sis | ${ }^{3}$ | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | ${ }^{35}$ | 5 | 3 | 1.67 | \$30,000,00] | TBRFRS Needs | Coricor:Eased Needs |
| SR 60 | SR. 60 W of SR 39 | Grade Speration |  |  |  |  | 16,800 | 1,663 | 9.9\% | sis | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | ${ }^{34}$ | 5 | 3 | 1.67 | \$36,257,00 |  | Notin trbams |
| Hillsorough Ave. | Hillsorough (CSX cossinss) | Grade Spepation |  | 18 |  |  | 47,00 | 3.008 | 6.4\% | REGIONAL | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 3 | ${ }^{33}$ | 5 | ${ }^{3}$ | 1.67 |  | SII Neds | Corimor Based Needs |
| Wates | Wates (W o i Diew Surut Easto Drem) | Grade Spepation | Grade separation | ${ }^{41}$ |  |  | 49,000 | 1,421 | 9.6\% | dist | 4 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | ${ }^{33}$ | 5 | 3 | 1.67 | s94,50, ,000 | TBRFRS Neads | Coridor:Based Needs |
| Causenay |  | Opeational |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 33,500 | 4,623 | 13.8\% | sis | ${ }^{3}$ | 5 | 7 | 5 | 5 | ${ }^{3}$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{31}$ | 5 | 1 | 5.00 | \$10,000 | TBRGMSCFFID Neds | ersecioio Needs |
| Causenay | Causemay easto t US 41 | Grade Sepeation | RR xing irmprovement/ replacement potential grade separation | ${ }^{16}$ | r | r | 2.500 | ${ }^{1,692}$ | 2\% | REGIoNaL | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 4 | 4 | ${ }^{3}$ | 5 | 5 | 1 | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{31}$ | 5 | ${ }^{3}$ | 1.67 | s37,52,000 |  |  |
| Oale Maby | Daie Maby (Hilsboroughto Kemmedy) | Operational |  | ${ }^{42}$ |  |  | 73,00 | 1,925 | 2.6\% | Regional | 5 | ${ }^{3}$ | 2 | ${ }^{4}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{30}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | 2.50 | 588,000 | TBRGMS Needs | Coridor:Pased Needs |
| Fakenurg |  | Crade Seperation | Grade separation | ${ }^{69}$ |  |  | ${ }^{23,000}$ | $\xrightarrow{1.800}$ | 7.8\% | oist | 3 | $3^{3}$ | 4 | $3_{3}$ | 5 | 5 | $3_{3}$ | $1$ | $\stackrel{3}{3}$ | ${ }^{30}$ | 5 | $\frac{3}{3}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.67}$ | S94.50.0.007 | TBRGMS Seds | Corridor.Eased Needs |
| Falkenurg |  | Grade seperation | Grade spapation | ${ }_{53}$ | $r$ |  | 22.500 | 1.800 | 8.0\% | dist | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 |  | $1$ |  | $30$ | $5$ | $3$ | 1.67 | s94,500,000 | sis Needs | Coriderasased Needs |
| US 41 |  |  |  |  | r | $\checkmark$ | 36,000 | 3,338 | 10.7\% | dist | 3 | 4 | 6 | ${ }^{3}$ | 3 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 3 | ${ }^{30}$ | 5 | 2 | 2.50 |  | TBRGMSCFFID Neads | Inesfeccion Needs |
| ${ }^{1275}$ | ${ }^{1275}$ and Bass exxt amp | Operational |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 22,500 | 1,750 | 7.8\% | dist | ${ }^{3}$ | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 3 | ${ }^{3}$ | 3 | ${ }^{28}$ | 4 | 1 | 4.00 | \$22,500 |  | Hot Sote Priofit List |
| Hillborough Ave. | Hilsborough Ave (Veerana st thighanss) | Oper |  | ${ }^{6}$ |  |  | 71,500 | 3,380 | 4.7\% | ${ }^{\text {III/ } / \text { REGIONAL }}$ | 5 | 4 | ${ }^{3}$ | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{27}$ | 4 | 1 | 4.00 | 599,000 | Bams Need | Coridor:Eased Needs |
| Hilsborugh Ave. | Hillsorough Ave (veierans to Highans) | Capait |  | ${ }^{6}$ |  |  | 71,500 | 3,380 | 4.7\% | SII/ REGIONAL | 5 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{27}$ | 4 | ${ }^{3}$ | 1.33 | \$2,40,600 | TBRGMS Needs | Coridor:Based Needs |
| Alexader |  | Sarad Seperaion | Centarele | ${ }_{5}^{58}$ | r | $\cdots$ | ${ }^{24.500}$ | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{1.764}$ | $\xrightarrow{7.2 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {Dist }}^{\text {Dise }}$ | ${ }_{2}$ | ${ }_{2}$ | ${ }_{3}^{4}$ | ${ }_{4}$ | 0 | 5 | 5 | 1 | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | ${ }_{27}^{27}$ | 4 | ${ }_{3}$ | ${ }^{1.33}$ | \$30.000.00) | SISNeds | Corrior-ased Neas |
| US92 | Sheolu | Oneates separaion |  |  |  | Y | 8,200 | ${ }_{\text {1,164 }}$ | 14.2\% | ${ }_{\text {cogosal }}$ | 2 | 2 | ${ }^{8}$ | 3 | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 3 | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{27}$ | 4 | $\stackrel{3}{2}$ | 2.00 | \$52,25,0000 | TBRGMSCFID Neas | Comitor based Neass |
| SR 60 | South Lakewood Drive at West Brandon Boulevard | eraional |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 72,000 | 3,888 | 5.4\% | sis | ${ }_{5}$ | ${ }^{4}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 5 | 5 | - | ${ }^{3}$ | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{26}$ | 4 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4.00 | 55,00 | TBRGMSCFFD Needs | seciion Needs |
| Hillsbooug Ave. |  | Oopeational | , |  |  | r | $\frac{52000}{7,000}$ | $\underbrace{\text { ces }}_{\substack{2.247 \\ 5.89}}$ | ${ }_{\text {4, }}^{4.5 \%}$ | $\frac{\text { REGIONAL }}{\text { REECIONAL }}$ | $\frac{4}{4}$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ | $\frac{4}{4}$ | 5 | $\stackrel{0}{5}$ | 5 | 1 | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{26}$ | 4 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 4.00 4.00 | si0.0.00 sio.00 | TBRGMSCFFO Neads |  |
| Dale Maby | Oaie Maby (Beass to toillsborough) | Opeational | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Signal timing progression study (11 } \\ \text { intersections) }\end{array}$ | ${ }^{64}$ |  | r | 74,000 | 2,50 | 2.9\% | REGIONAL | 5 | ${ }^{3}$ | 2 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 1 | - | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{26}$ | 4 | 1 | 4.00 | s88,000 | TBRGMS Needs | Coridor:Based Needs |
| Oioent |  | Grade Spepation | RR xing irmprovement/ replacement potential grade separation | ${ }^{22}$ | r | r | 7,800 | ${ }^{582}$ | 7.5\% | DIST | ${ }^{2}$ | 2 | 4 | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 5 | ${ }^{3}$ | 1 | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{26}$ | 4 | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{1.33}$ | \$83,000,000 | TBRFRS Neds | Coridor:Based Needs |
| Pak Rd |  | Orade Seperation | Girade spapation | ${ }^{48}$ | $r$ |  | 17,100 | ${ }^{1.231}$ | 7.2\% | REGIONAL | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 1 | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{26}$ | 4 | 3 | ${ }_{1}^{1.33}$ | S944878.0007 | TBRFRS Seeds | Corridorabearen Neds |
| US 41 |  |  |  |  |  | r | 23,500 | 2,162 | 9.2\% | dist | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 5 | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | - | 5 | ${ }^{3}$ | - | ${ }^{25}$ | 4 | ${ }^{2}$ | 200 | s2,500 | TBRGMSICFID Needs |  |
| US 301 |  | Operational |  |  |  | r | 41.500 48.00 | 3.212 <br> 3.380 | $7.7 \%$ <br> $7.0 \%$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { ReGIONAL }}$ | ${ }_{5}^{4}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | ${ }_{5}$ | 5 | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{25}^{25}$ | 4 | 1 | 4.00 4.00 | st0,000 s80,00 | TBRGMSCFID Needs TBGGMSCFID Needs | Intersection Needs |
|  |  |  |  |  | $r$ | $r$ | ${ }^{48,000}$ | ${ }_{\text {4,6823 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {7.0\%\% }}$ | ${ }_{\text {SIS }}^{\text {RegiolL }}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }_{5}^{4}$ | $\stackrel{4}{7}$ | ${ }_{5}^{4}$ | $\stackrel{5}{0}$ | $\stackrel{3}{1}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{24}^{25}$ | 4 | 1 | 4.00 | Ss8,000 | TBRGMSCFFID Neads | Hot Sol Prionity List |
| Hilsborough Ave. | Seas | Opeational | Turn Radii SE corner. Change to <br> taper on Hillsborough Ave.to flatten |  |  | $\checkmark$ | \% 500 | 2,00 | 3.2\% | sIs | 5 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{24}$ | 3 | 1 | 3.00 | \$10,000 | ${ }^{\text {TBRGMSICFII Needs }}$ |  |
| Dale Maby | Dale Maby Highwe a a Kemmedy Buoverard | Opeational |  |  |  | r | ${ }^{83,500}$ | 2.584 | 3.1\% | REGIONAL | ${ }^{3}$ | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 3 | ${ }^{24}$ | 3 | 2 | 1.50 | \$10,000 | tergmscfio neas | Tiessection Needs |
| US 301 | Us501( 1.75 to Stemon Exwy) | Opeational | Signa liming along the corridor | 25 |  |  | 44,00 | 3,212 | 7.3\% | Regional | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{24}$ | 3 | 1 | 3.00 | \$40,000 | TBRGMS Needs | Coridor.Based Needs |
| US 41 |  | ${ }^{\text {Opeataional }}$ |  |  |  | $r$ | 27,51 | 3,168 | 11.5\% | REGIIONAL | 3 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{24}$ | 3 | 2 | 1.50 | 876,000 | TBRGMSCFFID Neas | Coridor Needs |
| S8674 | SR 67 a a G Girange Hall Loop | Operational | Maintnenace. Repair/reconstruc shoulder pavement at the SE and SW corners. |  |  | r | 6,100 | 598 | 9.8\% | Regional | 2 | 2 | 5 | 4 | ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | ${ }^{3}$ | 1 | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{23}$ | 3 | 1 | ${ }^{3.00}$ | 54,500 | tergams/FFio Neds | Irsecion Neads |
| Us 92 | SR 600USg2 atN. Branch Forobes | Opeational |  |  | r | $r$ | ${ }^{12,900}$ | 1,355 | 10.5\% | REGIIONAL | 2 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{23}$ | 3 | 2 | ${ }^{1.50}$ | \$22,000 |  | Hot Sopt fioiriv List |
| SR 60 | SR 6 a a tus 41 | Maine | Substandard Pavement. Upgrade to concrete plus 200 ft on each approach. |  |  | $r$ | 34,00 | 2,652 | 7.8\% | dist | ${ }^{3}$ | 4 | 4 | ${ }^{3}$ | 5 | 0 | ${ }^{3}$ | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{23}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 2 | 1.50 | s3,612,672 | tergms/CFio Neads | hiesection Nead |
| US 41 | UStit tom Madison Avenue io Wastingon | N | Substandard pavement |  |  | $r$ | 27,51 | ${ }^{3,168}$ | 11.5\% | REGIONAL | ${ }^{3}$ | 4 | 6 | 4 | ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{23}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 1.00 | 87,21,000 | TBRGMSCFFID Neads | Coridor Needs |
| Us 301 | US 301 ( SR 6 6OAdamo Dr. 10 - 4 ) | Satey/Security | Oeeational Isulus |  |  | r | 35,00 | 3,635 | 10.4\% | REGIIONAL | 3 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{23}$ | 3 | 1 | ${ }^{3.00}$ |  | TBRGMSCFFID Neads | Coridor Needs |


| Coridor | Project | Type | Further Descripion |  |  | CFID | aAdt | Aadt | \％Trucks | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Corridor Type } \\ & \text { (SIS/ Regional/ } \\ & \text { Distribution } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Morucks }}^{\substack{\text { OTructs } \\ 1.8}}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2035 \\ \text { Congested } \\ \text { Corridor } \\ \text { or 5pts } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\square$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {T }}^{\substack{\text { Toalal } \\ \text { Poins }}}$ |  |  |  | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { Ssimated } \\ \text { cost }}}$ | Type of Needs | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR 574MK |  | Operational | Turn Radii SE corner．Reconstruct and replace curb with mountable curbing．Pull back median noe on E side approach． |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 22，000 | ${ }^{946}$ | 4．3\％ | IIST | ${ }^{3}$ | 2 | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 5 | 0 | 3 | ${ }_{3}$ | 0 | ${ }^{22}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 1 | 3.00 | s2，00 | TBRGMSCFFID Neds | Iesection Needs |
| ${ }^{14}$ | ${ }^{1.48}$ | Opeational | Signal modification．Change from flashing amber to full operation w protected left turn movements． |  | r | r | 3，500 | ${ }^{319}$ | 9．0\％ | Regional | 1 | 1 | 5 | ${ }^{4}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 5 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{22}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 1 | ${ }^{3.00}$ | 55，00 |  | Hot Sote Prointil List |
| US 301 | US 301 at Mango Road | Opeational |  |  |  | $r$ | 11，700 | 1，374 | 11．7\％ | IIST | 2 | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{22}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | 3.00 | 87，00 | tergmscfio neas | Isection Needs |
| SR 60 | SR 60 a t overer | opeational | Stem |  |  | $r$ | 33.500 | 2.556 | 7．2\％ | ${ }_{\text {SIS }}$ | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 3 | 1 | 3.0 | \＄10．000 | TBRGMSCFFIO Needs | ersection |
| Fiether | Fiecher（US4110 US418） | operational |  | ${ }^{96}$ |  | $\checkmark$ | 40，000 | ${ }^{1,066}$ | 4．0\％ | dist | 4 | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 5 | ${ }^{3}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{22}$ | 3 | 1 | 3.00 | ${ }_{\text {s32，00 }}$ | en Neas | Coridor：Based Neem |
| us 41 | SR 45／US 41 from Madison Avenue to Washington Street | erational | Signal Modification．Progression study 4 intersections（Madison，Port Sutton，Causeway，Palm River） |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 27，551 | 3，168 | 11．5\％ | dist | ${ }^{3}$ | 4 | 6 | ${ }^{3}$ | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{22}$ | 3 | 1 | 3.00 | \＄32，00 | TBRGMSCFFID Neds | Widor Needs |
| Hendeson | SR 685／Henderson Blvd．（Kennedy to Dale Maby） | Operational |  | ${ }^{127}$ | r | $\checkmark$ | 18，900 | ${ }^{548}$ | 2．9\％ | dist | 2 | 2 | 2 | ${ }^{3}$ | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | ${ }^{22}$ | 3 | 2 | 1.50 | \＄40，00 | ceon Neads | Coridor－Based Needs |
| US 41Nebraska | SR 4 SUS 412 a sigha Avenue | Operaional |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 22，00 | ${ }^{924}$ | 4．2\％ | dist | ${ }^{3}$ | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | ${ }^{21}$ | 3 | 1 | 3.00 | 5500 | TBRGMSCFFID Neds | minessecion Needs |
| ${ }^{\text {SR } 60}$ | Sthbo turey Creek Road | $\xrightarrow{\text { Ooperaional }}$ Opeational |  |  |  | $r$ | ${ }^{28,500}$ | 2.052 <br> 1.296 | $7.2 \%$ <br> $36 \%$ <br> 6. | ${ }_{\text {Sts }}^{\text {IIST }}$ | 3 | ${ }_{3}$ | 4 | 5 | $\stackrel{3}{5}$ | 3 | 3 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{21}$ | ${ }_{3}$ | 1 | 3.00 3.00 | S10．000 | TBGGMSCFID Neads |  |
|  |  | Eeation | Tuun Radili．SE and SW comers |  |  | $r$ | 36，000 | ${ }_{\text {1，296 }}^{1,200}$ | ${ }^{3.5 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {Dist }}^{\text {Dist }}$ | $\stackrel{3}{2}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{3}{3}$ | ${ }_{3}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{21}$ | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | ${ }^{3.00}$ | S62，000 | TBRGGMSCCFIDID Noeds | neesection Needs |
| SR 582FFwer | Fower floidida | Opera | Operational issues／Signal timing related congestion（12 intersections） | ${ }^{97}$ |  |  | ${ }^{61,500}$ | ${ }^{1,599}$ | 2．6\％ | Regional | 5 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 。 | 。 | 0 | ${ }^{21}$ | 3 | 1 | 3.00 | 000 | Screen Needs | Corrior：Eased Needs |
| Buss हivd． | Busch Bud at Nebras | Oeperational |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 45，00 | 1，125 | 2．5\％ | dist | 4 | 2 | 2 | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | ${ }^{3}$ | 1 | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{21}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 2 | 1.50 | \＄580，000 |  | Hot Sopt Priofit List |
| us 41 FFForida Ave | US 418 at Barss | Opeational |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 20，500 | 718 | 3．5\％ | dist | ${ }^{3}$ | 2 | 2 | ${ }^{3}$ | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{20}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 1 | 3.00 | 5500 | trbamscfio neas | Isection Neas |
| ${ }^{\text {Soln }}$ St |  | Oopeational |  |  |  | $\stackrel{r}{r}$ | $\xrightarrow{23,000}$ | ${ }_{\text {2，} 2162}^{1,75}$ | 9．9．96 | ${ }_{\text {REGIIONAL }}^{\text {OST }}$ | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | $\stackrel{3}{2}$ | ${ }_{4}$ | $\frac{3}{3}$ | $\frac{3}{5}$ | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{20}$ | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 3.00 <br> 3.00 | $\xrightarrow{\text { sili．000 }}$ |  | Intersection Needs |
| US 301 | SR 574／Martin Luther King，Jr．Blva at I－4 I－75 43／US 301 From CR 672／Big Bend Rd．to Io | Opeational | Signal modiification <br> Ave，Rivervieation Rd．，Bloomingdale <br> and Big Bend Road |  |  | r | ${ }_{1}^{24,500}$ | ｜，783 | 7．0\％ | Regional | ${ }^{3}$ | 2 | 4 | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }_{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | － | O | 0 | 20 | ${ }^{3}$ | I | ${ }^{3.00}$ | sat，000 | TBRGMSCFIO Needs | Corridor Needs |
| us 41 | US 418 West tilsborough Avenue | Eraional | Turn Radii．Pull back stop bars EB and WB．and pull back W side median nose 10ft． |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 15.500 | 465 | 3．0\％ | dist | 2 | 1 | 2 | ${ }^{3}$ | 3 | 0 | 5 | ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 19 | 2 | 2 | 1.00 | 2，400 | TBRGMSCFFID Neads | minessecion Needs |
| Hilsborough Ave． | SR 580 a ISnedon Road | opeation | －Oepaitionai isusues Signal |  |  | r | 58，100 | 1，634 | 2．8\％ | REGIINAL | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 1 | 200 | \＄10，000 | TBRGMSCFFID Neads | ${ }^{\text {minessecioion }}$ Nee |
| Us 41 | Us41（Foridat 0 Bearss） | Opeational | Signal progression study for 5 | ${ }^{90}$ |  | r | 2，500 | ${ }^{1,183}$ | 4．3\％ | REGIONAL | ${ }^{3}$ | 2 | ${ }^{3}$ | 4 | 3 | ${ }^{3}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 1 | 2.00 | s40，00 | Screen Needs | Coritor：Based Needs |
| ${ }^{14}$ | ${ }^{14} 4$ Thonotosasasa Ad ． | Operai | Add new signal at $\mathrm{I}-4$ ramps to facilitate left turn movements at the |  | r | r | 23，700 | 926 | 3．9\％ | sis | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{3}$ | 19 | 2 | 2 | 1.00 | \＄185，000 |  | Hot Spot Priority List／FDOT Quick Fix |
| Passons | Passons Ave at CSx＊ss＂Line | Grade Seperation | Grade separation | 107 |  |  | 18，300 | 1，313 | 7．2\％ | NA | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 19 | 2 | 3 | ${ }^{0.67}$ | 830，000，00 | Needs | Corridor：Eased Neats |
| Vanoye |  | Operational |  |  | r | r | 14，700 | 632 | 4．3\％ | dist | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{18}$ | 2 | 1 | 2.00 | 9500 |  | Hot Sotet Prioity List |
| 5 tan st |  | Opeational | Van |  |  | $r$ | 23.000 | 1.776 | 7．7\％ | ${ }_{\text {olst }}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | ． | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 1 | 2.00 | \＄10，000 | TBRGMSCCFID Needs | minessecioio Needs |
| SR 574MK | S55744（1275 to dale Maby） | Opeational |  | ${ }^{55}$ |  |  | 35.50 | 1，173 | 3．3\％ | dist | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 1 | 2.00 | s96，00 | Screen Needs | Coridor：Based Neads |
| Big Eend Rd | CR6672 Eig Eend \＆US441301 | Mainenance | Mainenanaerefsesuracing |  |  | r | 26，500 | 1.643 | 6．2\％ | sis | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 2 | 1.00 | \＄136，000 |  | Movement Study |
| anch Forbes | Branch Forbes（SR60 OT SRF74） | Fright Capacily | Extend Branch Forbes Road 0 to 4 lanes，Capacity to connect to SR 60. Widen from 2－4 from US 92 to new | ${ }^{103}$ |  |  |  |  |  | REGIONAL | ${ }^{3}$ | 2 | ${ }_{3}$ | ${ }_{4}$ | 。 | 3 | ${ }_{3}$ | 。 | 。 | 18 | 2 | ${ }_{3}$ | 0.67 | \＄15，82，000 1 S | OP Needs | Corridor－Based Needs |
| US 41 |  | Operational | Signal moditication |  |  | $r$ | 22，000 | 990 | 4．5\％ | ${ }^{\text {dist }}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 2.00 | \＄10，000 | TBRGMSCFFID Neads | Ineesececion |
| US41 | US 41 at Waters Avenue | Opeational |  |  |  | $r$ | ${ }^{22500}$ | ${ }_{990}$ | 4．4\％\％ | ${ }_{\text {olst }}$ IST | ${ }^{3}$ | 2 | ， | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 2.00 | \＄10．000 | TBRGUSCFFID Needs | Intesecion Neesis |
| US 41 Neobaska |  |  |  |  |  | r | 19，000 | ${ }^{648}$ | 3．4\％ | ${ }^{\text {diss }}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | 2 | 2 | ${ }^{3}$ | 5 | 3 | 0 |  | 0 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 2.00 | \＄10，000 | TBRGMSICFID Neads |  |
| US44Nebasal | US 41 al Feathera A Aenu | Opeational | Sigal moditiation |  |  | $\stackrel{r}{r}$ | ${ }^{27,500}$ 2，500 | $\underbrace{\text { 924 }}_{\substack{1.100 \\ 9.94}}$ | $\frac{40 \%}{4.10 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {list }}^{\text {Dist }}$ | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{17}^{17}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | $!$ | 200 200 | （incoun | Tershschio | Intersection Needs <br> Intersection Needs |
| Us 41 |  | Opearaional | Signal progesession 11 | 114 |  | $r$ | 22，000 | 990 | 4．5\％ | Dist | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 2.00 | 880，000 | TBRGMSCFFID Neads | Coridor Neass |
| Cypess | Cypress Ste Wessthore Bud． | ope | Signal timing．Long cycle cause delay in all directions．Only 650 ft to I |  | r | r | 46，100 | ${ }^{1,840}$ | 40\％ | ${ }^{\text {dist }}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{4}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 0 | ${ }^{0}$ | ${ }^{16}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | ${ }^{2.00}$ | \＄10，00 |  | Hot Sot Pioioity List |
| SR39 |  | Opeational | Signalmoditation |  |  | $\stackrel{r}{r}$ | $\xrightarrow{26,500} 1$ | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{1.484}$ |  | $\underbrace{\text { Disst }}_{\text {Dist }}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 3 3 3 | 0 | ${ }^{5}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 16 16 16 | 2 | 1 | $\xrightarrow{2.00}$ | $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { si0．000 } \\ \text { sio．ood }}]{ }$ |  | Intersecion Needs |
| US 41Nebrask | US 412 at Fowe Avenue | Opearational | Signal moditication |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TeBGGMSCFFID Needs | Ineessecition Needs |


| Coridor | Project | Type | Furter Descripion |  |  | CFID | atot | atott | \％\％Truck | $\begin{gathered} \text { Corridor Type } \\ \text { (SIS/ Regional/ } \\ \text { Distribution } \end{gathered}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {andt }}^{\text {Rapt }} 1$. | ${ }_{\text {\％}}^{\substack{\text { \％Trucks } \\ 1.8 \mathrm{pls}}}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { congeseded } \\ \text { corider } \\ \text { or } 5 \text { orp } \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {Toal }}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated } \\ & \text { Cost } \end{aligned}$ | Type of Needs | nes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gibsonon | gibsomon Dive at US 41 | Opeational |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 11，700 | ${ }^{842}$ | 7．2\％ | dist | 2 | 2 | 4 | ${ }_{3}$ | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 200 | \＄35，00 | TBRGMSCFFID Neds | meresection Needs |
| us 41 |  | Operational | Signal modification．Congrestion． Numerous signals．Signal timing and | 109 |  | r | 11，000 | ${ }^{310}$ | 2．8\％ | ${ }^{\text {IIST }}$ | 2 | 1 | 2 | ${ }^{3}$ | 5 | ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 2.00 | ${ }_{\text {s96，000 }}$ | sreen Needs | Corridor－Based Needs |
| Tampa St | Tampa Steetat Columbus | Operaional |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 8，800 | ${ }^{317}$ | 3．6\％ | dist | 2 | 1 | 2 | ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{3}$ | 1 | ${ }^{3}$ | 15 | 1 | 2 | 0.50 | \＄500 | teramsicfio neas | cition Ne |
| SR 39 | ${ }_{\text {Sta }}^{\text {Street }}$ | Opeational | Turn radii－－Pull back stop bars on all approaches to facilitate turning movements on EB ，and WB approaches． |  |  | ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 10，200 | 775 | 7．6\％ | IIST | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{4}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{15}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | 2.00 | S600 | TBRAMSCFFID Neas | menseceiton Needs |
| $\frac{\text { US } 92}{\text { PakRd }}$ | US 9 ata Count Line fo | Opeational |  |  |  | $\stackrel{r}{r}$ | ${ }_{\text {8．000 }}^{8.000}$ | ${ }_{\text {504 }}{ }_{784}$ | ${ }_{\text {5 }}^{5.98 \%}$ |  | $\frac{2}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{2}$ | $\frac{3}{5}$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\frac{5}{1}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{1}^{15}$ | $\frac{2}{1}$ | 1 | 2．00 | Sisto00 |  | linersecion Needs |
| CR39 |  | Opearaional | Turn Radii at NE and SE corners <br> Widen pavement at corners |  |  | r | ${ }_{5,000}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | \％ | Dist | 2 | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }_{4}$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 2 | ${ }_{0} 0.50$ | \＄15，400 | TBRGMSCFFII Needs |  |
| 56t st | 56 St Steet（Hamma Avenue to Fower Ave．） | peeational |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 33，00 | 252 | 0．8\％ | dist | ${ }^{3}$ | 1 | ， | 3 | 3 | 3 | 。 | 。 | 。 | 14 | 1 | ， | 1.00 | s64，00 | teramsicfio neas | minessecion Needs |
| ${ }_{\text {Pakik Rd }}^{\text {Turey }}$ | Park foda a Gordo Food Senice oive | Operational | Sigal moditiaion |  | r | $r$ | 16,000 2,300 | ${ }_{\text {1，158 }}^{168}$ | $7.2 \%$ <br> $7.3 \%$ <br> 7. | $\underset{\text { degiol }}{\text { RISTL }}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | $\stackrel{2}{1}$ | 3 | ${ }_{3}^{4}$ | 0 | 1 | ${ }_{3}$ | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 13 12 | 1 | 1 | 1.00 1.00 | \＄5，000 | TBRGMSCFFO Neass |  |
| SR 574MK |  | Oerational |  |  |  | r | 7.000 | ${ }^{385}$ | 5．5\％ | dist | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 1.00 | \＄10，000 | TBRGMSCFFID Neas |  |
| Port | － | Mainenance | RRx xing impoovenent repacement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 1 | 0.00 | s6， 00 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Port priority. Also in } \\ \text { Regional Goods } \\ \text { Movement Study } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Por | Guy Verger Blvd＠RR cossing | Mainenance | Maintenance／resurfacing． |  | r | N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 2 | 0.00 | S406，296 |  | Hot Sotet Pioifit List |
| csx | Comect 4 A Line to＂S＂Line | Rail Pan | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Construct "Wye" Plan tCity at "A" } \\ & \text { Line/"S" Line crossing } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | ${ }^{3}$ | 0.00 | \＄10，50，000 |  | Also on the Tampa Regional Rail Plan．CSX project and funding． |
| Port | Port Rexwing Ral | Ral lifastucture | Construct new $10,000 \mathrm{ft}$ of mainline track and $2,5000 \mathrm{ft}$ extension to esixting siding with crossover track． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | ${ }^{3}$ | 0.00 |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX D

## Statewide Performance Measures

Following are excerpts of the 2014 Florida Multimodal Mobility Performance Measures Source Book that relate to the performance measures discussed in this technical memorandum.

Freight -> Quality -> Truck ->

## Freight Travel Time Reliability

## Methodology

Freight travel time reliability is defined as the percentage of freeway trips by combination trucks traveling at least at the posted speed limit.

Calculation
$\Sigma$ (VMT | Combination Truck Travel Speed $\geq$ Posted Speed Limit) $\Sigma$ (VMT)


| Freight Travel Time Reliability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour/Peak Period |  |  |  |  | Daily |  |  |  |  |
| Year | State | 7 Largest Counties | Other Urbanized Areas | NonUrbanized Areas | Turnpike | State | Largest <br> Counties | Other Urbanized Areas | NonUrbanized Areas | Turnpike |
| 2005 | 68.1\% | 56.0\% | 72.5\% | 89.3\% | 71.0\% | 90.8\% | 88.0\% | 93.7\% | 95.3\% | 92.3\% |
| 2006 | 65.8\% | 52.7\% | 65.7\% | 89.3\% | 75.3\% | 90.6\% | 87.6\% | 94.0\% | 95.4\% | 93.1\% |
| 2007 | 67.6\% | 54.5\% | 72.9\% | 89.4\% | 68.3\% | 90.7\% | 87.7\% | 94.3\% | 95.4\% | 91.4\% |
| 2008 | 66.3\% | 52.6\% | 73.1\% | 89.2\% | 75.3\% | 90.2\% | 86.9\% | 94.5\% | 95.4\% | 93.6\% |
| 2009 | 71.7\% | 60.7\% | 79.6\% | 89.6\% | 80.8\% | 92.1\% | 89.8\% | 95.2\% | 95.5\% | 93.7\% |
| 2010 | 71.7\% | 60.8\% | 79.4\% | 89.6\% | 80.6\% | 92.1\% | 89.8\% | 95.2\% | 95.5\% | 93.7\% |
| 2011 | 70.5\% | 59.7\% | 79.3\% | 89.5\% | 85.1\% | 91.7\% | 89.3\% | 95.0\% | 95.5\% | 93.9\% |
| 2012 | 71.3\% | 60.2\% | 79.2\% | 89.4\% | 85.1\% | 92.0\% | 89.7\% | 95.2\% | 95.4\% | 93.9\% |
| 2013 | 69.9\% | 59.4\% | 78.6\% | 89.4\% | 85.3\% | 91.4\% | 88.9\% | 94.8\% | 95.4\% | 93.9\% |

## Freight Travel Time Variability

Methodology
Freight travel time variability is defined as 95th percentile travel time index ( $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{lgs}$ ).

Calculation
$\mathrm{TTI}_{95}=\frac{{\text { Travel } \text { Time }_{95 \text { th percentile }}}^{\text {Travel Time }_{\text {freeflow }}}}{\text { Trind }}$

Reporting Period
For 7 Largest Counties For All Others


## Sources

- FDOT Traffic Characteristics Inventory
- FDOT Crash Analysis Reporting System (CARS)
- FDOT Travel Time Reliability Model

Freight Travel Time Variability (95th Travel Time Index) on Freeways During Peak Hour/Peak Period


| Freight Travel Time Variability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour/Peak Period |  |  |  |  | Daily |  |  |  |  |
| Year | State | 7 Largest Counties | Other Urbanized Areas | NonUrbanized Areas | Turnpike | State | 7 Largest Counties | Other Urbanized Areas | NonUrbanized Areas | Turnpike |
| 2005 | 1.41 | 1.65 | 1.37 | 1.10 | 1.21 | 1.18 | 1.28 | 1.12 | 1.06 | 1.10 |
| 2006 | 1.44 | 1.74 | 1.35 | 1.10 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.35 | 1.07 | 1.06 | 1.10 |
| 2007 | 1.40 | 1.66 | 1.30 | 1.10 | 1.22 | 1.19 | 1.31 | 1.09 | 1.06 | 1.12 |
| 2008 | 1.39 | 1.65 | 1.25 | 1.11 | 1.17 | 1.21 | 1.34 | 1.08 | 1.06 | 1.09 |
| 2009 | 1.33 | 1.56 | 1.17 | 1.10 | 1.19 | 1.15 | 1.24 | 1.06 | 1.06 | 1.09 |
| 2010 | 1.33 | 1.54 | 1.18 | 1.10 | 1.19 | 1.15 | 1.24 | 1.06 | 1.06 | 1.09 |
| 2011 | 1.35 | 1.58 | 1.20 | 1.10 | 1.19 | 1.16 | 1.24 | 1.07 | 1.06 | 1.09 |
| 2012 | 1.34 | 1.56 | 1.20 | 1.10 | 1.19 | 1.15 | 1.22 | 1.07 | 1.06 | 1.09 |
| 2013 | 1.35 | 1.59 | 1.21 | 1.10 | 1.19 | 1.17 | 1.25 | 1.07 | 1.06 | 1.09 |


[^0]:    1 FHWA, Freight Analysis Framework (FAF ${ }^{3}$ ) Database, Internal Tampa Bay Tonnage 2011-2040.

