**KEYSTONE-ODESSA COMMUNTY VISUAL PREFERENCE SURVEY**

**PROPOSAL 1**

I’ve lived in the area since 1971 and would like to see the area remain the same as much as possible.

 Ideal, but some enhancements are ok.

Left turn lanes only – no road widening.

 Gunn hwy is a western boundary, make road through Keystone. It should remain low flow- two-lane to minimize congestion, nut I think an enhancement would be beneficial.

Enhancements need to be made for drainage of water and for safety of roads.

Yes

Yes

This is my proposal. Less is more. We don’t want curbing, limited or not. Definitely Gunn Hwy widened. If Pasco people want to go to Hillsborough for work, let them pay using the Veterans or leave earlier for work our community would have to pay for the changes while other communities reap the benefits.

This one is good.

I would like to see more lanes.

Keep things the same; in the real world if more people moved into the area then we will need road widening improvements. We should not be constrained.

Not practical with development in South Pasco.

The congestion and danger of Gunn hwy. Remaining two lanes is a problem. It at least needs turn lanes.

We moved to the Keystone area because we wanted to live in a rural community.

Enhancements only, people can use the Suncoast/Veterans Expressway. We want to keep Keystone Rural, would prefer everything to stay as is.

Keep the Plan the way it is.

Widening the roads encourages building. We want rural land, not cement and traffic.

Although I like the effort to keep rural character of Gunn Highway, it does need to be expanded. Veterans Expressway is in desperate need for expansion.

Widening Gunn Highway is needed to allow the traffic flow economically and efficiently.

Widen Gunn Highway immediately to eliminate traffic backups.

 This is the best plan. Keep it rural. Keep traffic on hwy 54 and Suncoast.

The original justification with the Suncoast Parkway included that it would take traffic off Gunn Highway. This should be upheld by not widening Gunn Highway and make the Suncoast/Veterans Expressway the preferred path for commuters.

We live here because of the rural atmosphere and think road widening would be detrimental. I do like enhancements s far as bike lanes and turn lanes.

Traffic is only bad for one hour or so in the morning and evening. I live right off Van Dyke Road and overall traffic isn’t an issue expect for these times. Enhancements are unnecessary and would only increase the amount of vehicles and traffic in the area.

Not necessary to widen north Veterans.

 In favor of the expansion of the Veterans. During school time is a huge traffic issue.

No change with only enhancements.

Yes, change. This is no longer a rural community! Traffic is backed up at rush hours. 10 years ago people missed voting because of the heavy traffic.

Some turn lanes – Gunn at Tarpon Springs – going west – Lutz Lake Fern at Gunn going north.

Growth in inevitable, but mainlining the roads has an accelerating effect on growth and traffic.

No changes – cost effective – keep what we have.

Some enhancements/improvements are a must. The roads cannot continue to serve the communities they pass and limit livability.

Strongly encourage this option.

Keep this area rural. It is beautiful only area with beauty.

 Stop freaking building. Bike lanes could be added to Gunn Hwy to connect to the Suncoast trail.

**PROPOSAL 2**

No widening other than left turn lanes.

Enhancements involving turn lanes and bike paths are favorable.

No need to expand Gunn through Keystone – that is what the Suncoast is for.

Must do.

It is congested now. Improvements are needed to slow traffic on Gunn for safety concerns.

 No

No

If you widen the road, you invite growth and more traffic.

 No!. no! Only turn lanes.

Gunn Highway will always be highly congested. Expanding it will only increase the traffic count not improve the traffic flow - minimal “enhancements”. Turn lanes for safety would be okay.

Leave Gunn Highway alone. Work to divert traffic for SR 54 to the Suncoast Parkway.

 If traffic grows we’ll need to widen for safe and efficient travel.

Turn lanes, minimal enhancements.

 Stop building and add bike lanes to Gunn Hwy.

Can’t ignore the reality that the traffic volumes will continue to increase due to external factors.

Okay, but not the answer to waste money with signs cluttering our roads and people not obeying them. Not enough cops on roads enforcing the street signs as it is now.

Enhancing with signs and lines would help possibly, but Gunn Highway needs to be widened.

How would the “enhancements’ be funded?

Minimal enhancements are okay, but this alternative opens the door for future Gunn Highway widening. No good.

Utilize roundabout design for Gunn Highway intersections at Lutz Lake Fern Road, Tarpon Springs Road at Van Dyke Road.

Traffic is only difficult for a few hours in the morning and evening. Enhancing Gunn Highway would make it easier and faster for Pasco traffic to cut through Odessa. Enhancements would also encourage commercial development on Gunn which is not desired.

Only if: No widening of Gunn Highway.

Gunn Highway will become just like Dale Mabry is widened. You can’t stop businesses, signs, lights from polluting if “enhanced”.

Would have consider +3 if enhancement types were listed. In any event, no 4 laning of Gunn. Must remain rural roadway.

Enhancements at Gunn Highway major intersections only.

Turn lanes, sidewalks and bike paths.

These roads needed improvements yesterday or as soon as possible.

Would seriously compromise the rural aspect and natural surroundings if the two roadway. Naturally would encourage condensed developemnt.

Do not widen Gunn Highway – send traffic over to Suncoast Parkway.

It should be enhanced with turn lanes and flare outs, but not four lanes.

Would like bike lanes (separated) from roadway as an improvement to Gunn Highway. No four laning of Gunn.

No widening of Gunn – only enhancement!

The “highwayization” (new word) of Gunn would split the Keystone flavor right up the middle – does I-275 ring a bell?

Enhancements like turn lanes to eliminate backup.

Move traffic off Gunn.

 Don’t touch Gunn Hwy, It is supposed to be an historic rd. Route the traffic another way.

**PROPOSAL 3**

Directing traffic to the Suncoast! No widening – only left turn lanes.

I like directing traffic flow to Suncoast.

Traffic from Pasco should be directed down 54, not into Hillsborough County and Lutz Lake Fern except as an emergency by-pass.

No

Only practical solution.

Keep traffic out of Keystone that doesn’t belong here!

Traffic needs to be directed down Highway 54 and not thru Hillsborough County.

 This option serves no purpose. Hwy 54 serves as the main artery to the Veterans. The residential population between 54 and Lutz Lake Fern rd does not require any road widening.

Lutz Lake Fern is too residential to support the volume of traffic.

No

 Not needed. Keep the flow on Hwy 54.

Going west/east it seems one would use SR54.

One solution attempting to minimize the impact of handling the traffic increases o the overall community.

This can help, but you also have to look at proposal 4 in conjunction with proposal 3.

Do both proposal 3 and 4 yearly.

This could help divert traffic from the area of Gunn Highway that cannot handle traffic because it is too narrow. The real answer is to widen Gunn!

More lanes on Lutz Lake Fern would not help the traffic to Veterans Expressway.

No good. Do not widen Gunn Highway, Tarpon Springs Road, Van Dyke, Lutz Lake Fern Road, etc., within the Keystone-Odessa area. Also, do all possible to discourage traffic flow from Pinellas and Pasco Counties and discourage widening of Gunn Highway in Pasco and Tarpon Springs Road in Pinellas.

Recommend roundabout intersection configuration at Gunn Highway and Lutz Lake Fern Road.

Enhancing north Gunn and Lutz Lake Fern will facilitate additional Pasco traffic flow. Caveat – enhancements do need to be done on Lutz Lake Fern Road at the 3 school intersection.

Isn’t Pasco 4 laning Gunn Highway down to County Line?

 Lutz Lake Fern Rd and Gunn Hwy are extremely important roadways and must be maintained.

Vote -3 as the MPO numbers can be skewed to support 4 laning Lutz Lake Fern. Even though the community may not support it.

The “highwayization” (new word) of Gunn would split the Keystone flavor right up the middle – does I-275 ring a bell?

Encourage traffic to flow toward Suncoast/Veterans. Enhancements needed on Lutz Lake Fern Road so much backup at all the schools and neighborhoods.

Dibb’s trucks are tearing up the road anyways.

Route the traffic another way.

**PROPOSAL 4**

No widening other than left turn lanes.

Push traffic to Van Dyke.

This looks like part of the old east/west road through Lutz. Does Lutz agree to carry the road through Tampa Palms?

 The overpass bridges already have a design to allow road widening on Van Dyke. If traffic flow warrants there it make sense to widen.

No necessary at current densities. The higher density areas around Ehrlich Road or

Gunn Highway at Citrus Park and the Hutchinson exits are adequate.

No

Van Dyke needs work, but using Gunn as a main corridor is undesirable.

 No reason to widen. If the road is widened it will only promote non-residential. To avoid tolls on the Veterans, will using our roads as a short cut to Trinity, Palm harbor etc.

 Not needed.

One solution attempting to minimize the impact of handling the traffic increases o the overall community.

No

Would help some.

Possibly Van Dyke Rd, not on Gunn hwy.

This can help, but you also have to look at proposal 4 in conjunction with proposal 3. Do both proposal 3 and 4 yearly.

This could help divert traffic from the area of Gunn Highway that cannot handle traffic because it is too narrow. The real answer is to widen Gunn!

No four laning in Keystone.

Absolutely not. With the construction of the huge new apartment complex on Gunn, just south of South Mobley, only enhancement to south Gunn will open the door for hundreds of additional vehicles per day. This proposal would also open up north-south traffic on Gunn and additional traffic on Van Dyke.

No change.

Widening of Van Dyke to east of the Suncoast was always being considered. This could result in widening of Van Dyke being widened to the west – not wanted.

I am absolutely against this option. I am certain this will only increase traffic and traffic speeds.

The “highwayization” (new word) of Gunn would split the Keystone flavor right up the middle – does I-275 ring a bell?

No

+3 Van Dyke; -3 Gunn Highway – Do not want additional traffic on Gunn Highway.

Direct traffic down Van Dyke to Suncoast and Dale Mabry. Gunn part – turn lanes, divided road areas to slow traffic and encourage people to not use Gunn as major route.

Strongly against. This plan would eventually require the entire Gunn Highway to undergo “improvements’.

Absolutely not, curvy road too dangerous.

**PROPOSAL 5**

Good idea – relieves pressure on Gunn.

Yes

Great idea! That’s what the Suncoast is for.

 Do it.

Great – lessens traffic on Gunn Highway

Don’t really think it needs to but whatever, it’s not hurting Keystone.

As the need dictates.

This is needed.

Not needed – too costly! People will not use it.

Do not see much need for this for many years.

Veterans Expressway – get rid of toll booths and put in road like Suncoast Parkway.

 Keep it out of Keystone traffic.

Yes, commuter traffic must be directed to the Suncoast/Veterans Expressway and kept off local roads.

I drive the Veterans Expressway twice every day. It is only busy in the morning for an hour or so. That will be alleviated by the near term work on south Veterans and the removal of toll booths. Aside from mornings, north Veterans and Suncoast is quite.

Ease pressure on local roads – payoff Expressway early and make it free!

They should do 8 lanes plus three.

Great!

This will relieve traffic from Gunn Highway. Need to make fast Suncoast/Veterans.

More people will take Suncoast/Vets if toll booths are made faster!!! (No 25mph speed limits).

Yes.

Support.

Please install high speed electronic SunPass lanes and get rid of renewal cards.

Yes! Yes! Yes!

 Good idea. Much needed!

On a cold morning, the sound from “as-is” is noisy enough!

Absolutely.

Put it here. Make high speed tolls.

Yes

Take the traffic off of Gun Highway.